arXiv:1302.2756v1 [math-ph] 12 Feb 2013

Convergence to equilibrium for the heat bath coupled to a particle

T.V. Dudnikova [1]

Elektrostal Polytechnical Institute
Elektrostal 144000, Russia
e-mail: tdudnikov@mail.ru

Abstract

We consider a linear Hamiltonian system consisting of an infinite heat reservoir and a classical particle. The initial data are supposed to be a random function which has some mixing properties. We study the distribution μ_t of the random solution at time moments $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The main result is the convergence of μ_t to a Gaussian probability measure as $t \to \infty$.

Key words and phrases: a heat bath coupled to a particle; Cauchy problem; random initial data; mixing condition; Volterra integro-differential equation; compactness of measures; characteristic functional; convergence to statistical equilibrium; Gibbs measures

AMS Subject Classification: 35L15, 60Fxx, 60Gxx, 82Bxx

---

[1] Supported partly by research grant of RFBR (12-01-00203)

1 Introduction

The paper concerns problems of long-time convergence to an equilibrium distribution for a coupled system consisting of a field and a particle. For one-dimensional chains of harmonic oscillators, the results have been established by Spohn and Lebowitz in [28], and by Boldrighini and others in [2]. Ergodic properties of one-dimensional chains of anharmonic oscillators coupled to heat baths were studied by Jaksic, Pillet and others (see, e.g., [21, 14]). In [6, 7, 8, 10], we began to study the convergence to equilibrium for the systems described by partial differential equations. Later on, the similar results were obtained in [9] for d-dimensional harmonic crystals with $d \geq 1$, and in [11] for a scalar field coupled to a harmonic crystal.

Here we treat the linear Hamiltonian system consisting of the scalar wave or Klein–Gordon field $\varphi(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, coupled to a classical particle with position in $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The Hamiltonian functional reads

$$H(\varphi, \pi, q, p) = H_A(q, p) + H_B(\varphi, \pi) + q \cdot \langle \nabla\varphi, \rho\rangle, \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_A(q,p) &= \frac{1}{2}\Big(|p|^2 + \omega^2|q|^2\Big), \\ H_B(\varphi,\pi) &= \frac{1}{2}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big(|\nabla\varphi(x)|^2 + m^2|\varphi(x)|^2 + |\pi(x)|^2\Big)\,dx. \end{aligned}$$

Here $m \geq 0$, $\omega > 0$, $\varphi(x)$ and $\pi(x)$ are real-valued functions, "$\cdot$" stands for the standard Euclidean scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ denotes the inner product in the real Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (or its extensions), $q, p \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 3$, and d is odd if $m = 0$.

We assume that the initial data $Y_0 := (\varphi_0, \pi_0, q_0, p_0)$ are a random element of a real functional space $\mathcal{E}$ consisting of states with a finite local energy, see Definition 2.1 below. The distribution of Y_0 is a probability measure μ_0 of mean zero satisfying conditions **S1**–**S3** below. In particular, we assume that the initial measure μ_0 satisfies a mixing condition. Roughly speaking, it means that

$$Y_0(x) \quad \text{and} \quad Y_0(y) \quad \text{are asymptotically independent as} \;\; |x-y| \to \infty.$$

We study the distributions μ_t, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, of the random solution $Y_t := (\varphi_t, \pi_t, q_t, p_t)$ at time moments $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Our main objective is to prove the weak convergence of the measures μ_t to an equilibrium measure μ_∞,

$$\mu_t \rightharpoondown \mu_\infty, \quad t \to \infty, \tag{1.2}$$

where the limit measure μ_∞ is a translation-invariant Gaussian measure on $\mathcal{E}$. We derive the explicit formulas for the limiting correlation functions of μ_∞. The similar convergence holds for $t \to -\infty$ since our system is time-reversible.

Let us outline the strategy of the proof. When the bath variables (φ_t, π_t) are eliminated from the equations of the coupled system, the particle evolves according to a linear Volterra integro-differential equation of a form (see Eqn (3.2))

$$\ddot{q}_t = -\omega^2 q_t + \int\limits_0^t D(t-s)q_s\,ds + F(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $D(t)$ is a matrix-valued function depending on ρ, $F(t)$ is a vector-valued function depending on (φ_0, π_0). Therefore, our first objective is to study the long-time behavior of the solutions to Eqn.(1.3) (see Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.6 below). The *deterministic* dynamics of Eqn (1.3) has been extensively investigated by many authors under some restrictions on the functions $F(t)$ and $D(t)$: Myshkis [24], Driver [4] and others (for more details on the results and problems in the theory of equations with delay, see, e.g., the survey paper by Corduneanu and Lakshmikantham [3], the monograph by Gripenberg, Londen and Staffans [16] and the references therein).

The linear *stochastic* Volterra equations of convolution type have been treated also by many authors, see, e.g., the papers by Appleby and Reynolds [1], by Karczewska [22] and the references therein. In the papers mentioned above, the solutions of Eqn (1.3) were studied assuming that $F(t)$ is a Gaussian with noise and the memory kernel $D(t)$ has the exponential decay. However, in our case, $F(t)$ is not Gaussian white-noise, in general. Moreover, if $m \neq 0$, the decay of $D(t)$ is as $(1+t)^{-d/2}$ (see Appendix B).

In recent years the nonlinear *generalized Langevin equation*, i.e., the equation of a form (cf Eqn.(11.18))

$$\ddot{q}_t = -\nabla V(q_t) - \int\limits_0^t \Gamma(t-s)\dot{q}_s\,ds + F(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.4}$$

with a stationary Gaussian process $F(t)$ and with a smooth (confining or periodic) potential $V(q)$, has been investigated also extensively, see, e.g., [20, 25, 27, 30]. In particular, the ergodic properties of (1.4) were studied by Jaksic and Pillet in [20], the qualitative properties of solutions to Eqn.(1.4) were established by Ottobre and Pavliotis in [25].

In this paper, we study a linear "field-particle" model. However, we do not assume that the initial distribution of the system is a Gibbs measure either absolutely continuous with respect to a Gibbs measure or "close to equilibrium". Therefore, in particular, the force $F(t)$ in Eqn.(1.3) is non-Gaussian, in general.

The key step in our proof is the derivation of the asymptotic behavior of the solutions Y_t for the coupled field-particle system. We prove the following asymptotics in mean (see Proposition 5.1):

$$\langle Y_t, Z\rangle \sim \langle W_t(\varphi_0, \pi_0), \Omega(Z)\rangle, \quad t \to \infty, \tag{1.5}$$

where W_t is a solving operator to the Cauchy problem for the free wave or Klein-Gordon equation (2.10), and the function $\Omega(Z)$ is defined in (2.17). This asymptotics allows us to apply the technique from [8, 10], where the weak convergence of the statistical solutions has been proved for free wave and Klein–Gordon equations. Namely, we divide the proof of (1.2) into two steps: we first establish the weak compactness of the measures family $\{\mu_t,\, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ (see Section 4), and then we prove the convergence of the characteristic functionals of the measures μ_t (Section 6).

In conclusion, note that the similar results remain true for a linear Hamiltonian system consisting of N heat reservoirs coupled to a single particle. In this case, Hamiltonian reads

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} H_B(\varphi_i, \pi_i) + H_A(q,p) + q \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{N} \langle \nabla\varphi_k, \rho_k\rangle.$$

Also, convergence (1.2) holds for a system consisting of a field $\varphi(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and an oscillator with position $q \in \mathbb{R}^1$, if Hamiltonian of the system equals $H_B(\varphi,\pi) + H_A(q,p) + q\langle \nabla\varphi, \nabla\rho\rangle$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we describe the model, impose the conditions on the coupled function ρ and on the initial measures μ_0 and state the main results. Section 3 is devoted to the limit behavior of solutions of Eqn(1.3). In Section 4 we prove the compactness of the measures family $\{\mu_t\}$. The asymptotics (1.5) is proved in Section 5. In Section 6 we complete the proof of the main result and establish the convergence of characteristic functionals of μ_t to a limit. In Section 7 we study the ergodic properties of the limit measures μ_∞. In Appendix A we prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the coupled system. Appendix B is devoted to the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of (1.3) in the case when $m \neq 0$. Appendix C concerns the case of non translation–invariant initial measures. In Appendix D we give an application of the results to the case of Gibbs initial measures.

2 Main Results

2.1 Model

For simplicity of exposition, we consider the case $d = 3$ only. Taking formally variational derivatives in (1.1), we derive the following equations of motion,

$$\left.\begin{array}{ll} \dot\varphi_t(x) = \pi_t(x), & \dot\pi_t(x) = (\Delta - m^2)\varphi_t(x) + q_t \cdot \nabla\rho(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \dot q_t = p_t, & \dot p_t = -\omega^2 q_t + \displaystyle\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla\rho(x)\varphi_t(x)\,dx. \end{array}\right| \tag{2.1}$$

For the system (2.1), we study the Cauchy problem with the initial data

$$\varphi_t(x)|_{t=0} = \varphi_0(x), \quad \pi_t(x)|_{t=0} = \pi_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad q_t|_{t=0} = q_0, \quad p_t|_{t=0} = p_0. \tag{2.2}$$

Let us write $\phi_t = (\varphi_t(\cdot), \pi_t(\cdot))$, $\xi_t = (q_t, p_t)$, $Y_t = (\phi_t, \xi_t)$. Then the system (2.1)–(2.2) writes as

$$\dot Y_t = \mathcal{L}(Y_t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}; \quad Y_t|_{t=0} = Y_0. \tag{2.3}$$

Now we formulate the conditions on ρ, ω and m. Let $K_m = (K_{m,ij})_{i,j=1}^3$ stand for the 3×3 matrix with the matrix elements $K_{m,ij}$,

$$K_{m,ij} := (2\pi)^{-3} \int \frac{k_i k_j |\hat\rho(k)|^2}{k^2 + m^2}\,dk, \quad m \geq 0. \tag{2.4}$$

A1. The matrix $(\omega^2 - m^2)I - K_0$ is positive definite, where I denotes the identity matrix.
A1'. The matrix $\omega^2 I - K_m$ is positive definite.

Note that if $m \neq 0$, then condition **A1** implies **A1'**. Otherwise, if $m = 0$, conditions **A1** and **A1'** coincide.

A2. The function $\rho(x)$ is a real-valued smooth function, $\rho(-x) = \rho(x)$, $\rho(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq R_\rho$.
A3. For any $k \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$, $\hat\rho(k) = \int e^{ik\cdot x}\rho(x)\,dx \neq 0$.

2.2 Phase space for the coupled system

Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the norm in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. We introduce a phase space $\mathcal{E}$.

Definition 2.1 *(i) Let us choose a function* $\zeta(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *with* $\zeta(0) \neq 0$. *Denote by* $H^s_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, *the local Sobolev spaces, i.e., the Fréchet spaces of distributions* $\varphi \in D'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *with the finite seminorms* $\|\varphi\|_{s,R} := \|\Lambda^s\left(\zeta(x/R)\varphi\right)\|$, *where* Λ^s *stands for the pseudodifferential operator with the symbol* $\langle k\rangle^s$, *i.e.,*

$$\Lambda^s\psi := F^{-1}_{k\to x}(\langle k\rangle^s\hat{\psi}(k)), \quad \langle k\rangle := \sqrt{|k|^2+1},$$

and $\hat{\psi}$ *is the Fourier transform of a tempered distribution* ψ.

(ii) $\mathcal{H} \equiv H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus H^0_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *is the Fréchet space of pairs* $\phi \equiv (\varphi(x), \pi(x))$ *with real valued functions* $\varphi(x)$ *and* $\pi(x)$, *which is endowed with the local energy seminorms*

$$\|\phi\|^2_R = \int\limits_{|x|<R} (|\varphi(x)|^2 + |\nabla\varphi(x)|^2 + |\pi(x)|^2)dx < \infty, \quad \forall R > 0.$$

(iii) $\mathcal{E} \equiv \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^3$ *is the Fréchet space of vectors* $Y \equiv (\phi(x), q, p)$, *with the local energy seminorms*

$$\|Y\|^2_{\mathcal{E},R} = \|\phi\|^2_R + |q|^2 + |p|^2, \quad \forall R > 0. \tag{2.5}$$

(iv) For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, *denote* $\mathcal{H}^s \equiv H^{1+s}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus H^s_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\mathcal{E}^s \equiv \mathcal{H}^s \oplus \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^3$. *In particular,* $\mathcal{H} \equiv \mathcal{H}^0$, $\mathcal{E} \equiv \mathcal{E}^0$.

Using standard techniques of pseudodifferential operators and Sobolev's Theorem (see, e.g., [17]), it is possible to prove that $\mathcal{E}^0 \equiv \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}^{-\varepsilon}$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$, and the embedding is compact.

Proposition 2.2 *Let conditions* **A1'** *and* **A2** *hold. Then (i) for every* $Y_0 \in \mathcal{E}$, *the Cauchy problem (2.3) has a unique solution* $Y_t \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{E})$.

(ii) For every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, *the operator* $S_t : Y_0 \mapsto Y_t$ *is continuous on* $\mathcal{E}$. *Moreover, for every* $R > R_\rho$, $T > 0$,

$$\sup_{|t|\le T} \|S_tY_0\|_{\mathcal{E},R} \le C(T)\|Y_0\|_{\mathcal{E},R+T}. \tag{2.6}$$

Proposition 2.2 is proved in Appendix A.

2.3 Conditions on the initial measure

Let (Ω, Σ, P) be a probability space with expectation $\mathbb{E}$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E})$ denote the Borel σ-algebra in $\mathcal{E}$. We assume that $Y_0 = Y_0(\omega, x)$ in (2.3) is a measurable random function with values in $(\mathcal{E},\, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}))$. In other words, $(\omega, x) \mapsto Y_0(\omega, x)$ is a measurable map $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^8$ with respect to the (completed) σ-algebra $\Sigma \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^8)$. Then $Y_t = S_tY_0$ is also a measurable random function with values in $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}))$ owing to Proposition 2.2. We denote by $\mu_0(dY_0)$ a Borel probability measure in $\mathcal{E}$ giving the distribution of Y_0. Without loss of generality, we assume $(\Omega, \Sigma, P) = (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}), \mu_0)$ and $Y_0(\omega, x) = \omega(x)$ for $\mu_0(d\omega) \times dx$-almost all $(\omega, x) \in \mathcal{E} \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

Set $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_0 \oplus \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^3$, $\mathcal{D}_0 := [C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)]^2$, and

$$\langle Y, Z\rangle := \langle \phi, f\rangle + q \cdot u + p \cdot v \quad \text{for} \;\; Y = (\phi, q, p) \in \mathcal{E}, \quad \text{and} \;\; Z = (f, u, v) \in \mathcal{D}.$$

For a probability measure μ on $\mathcal{E}$ denote by $\hat{\mu}$ the characteristic functional (Fourier transform)

$$\hat{\mu}(Z) \equiv \int \exp(i\langle Y, Z\rangle)\, \mu(dY), \quad Z \in \mathcal{D}.$$

A measure μ is called *Gaussian* (with zero expectation) if its characteristic functional has the form $\hat{\mu}(Z) = \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Q}(Z,Z)\}$, $Z \in \mathcal{D}$, where $\mathcal{Q}$ is a real nonnegative quadratic form in $\mathcal{D}$. A measure μ is called *translation-invariant* if $\mu(T_hB) = \mu(B)$, $\forall B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E})$, $h \in \mathbb{R}^3$, where $T_hY(x) = Y(x-h)$.

We assume that the initial measure μ_0 has the following properties **S0**–**S3**.

S0 μ_0 has zero expectation value, $\mathbb{E}Y_0(x) \equiv \int Y_0(x)\,\mu_0(dY_0) = 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

S1 μ_0 has finite mean energy density, i.e., $\mathbb{E}(|q_0|^2 + |p_0|^2) < \infty$, and

$$\mathbb{E}\Big(|\varphi_0(x)|^2 + |\nabla\varphi_0(x)|^2 + |\pi_0(x)|^2\Big) \le e_0 < \infty. \tag{2.7}$$

Denote by $\mu_0^B := P\mu_0$, where $P : (\phi_0, q_0, p_0) \in \mathcal{E} \to \phi_0 \in \mathcal{H}$. Now we impose conditions **S2** and **S3** on the measure μ_0^B. For simplicity of exposition, we assume that μ_0^B has translation-invariant correlation matrices (non translation-invariant case of μ_0^B is considered in Appendix C).

S2 The correlation functions of the measure μ_0^B,

$$Q_0^{ij}(x,y) := \int \big(\phi_0^i(x) \otimes \phi_0^j(y)\big)\ \mu_0^B(d\phi_0), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \phi_0 = (\phi_0^0, \phi_0^1) \equiv (\varphi_0, \pi_0),$$

are *translation-invariant*, i.e., $Q_0^{ij}(x,y) = q_0^{ij}(x-y)$, $i, j = 0, 1$.

Now we formulate a *mixing condition* for the measure μ_0^B.

Let $\mathcal{O}(r)$ denote the set of all pairs of open convex subsets $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ of distance $d(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \ge r$, and let $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ be the σ-algebra in $\mathcal{H}$ generated by the linear functionals $\phi \mapsto \langle \phi, f\rangle$, where $f \in [C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$ with $\operatorname{supp} f \subset \mathcal{A}$. Define the *Ibragimov mixing coefficient* of a probability measure μ_0^B on $\mathcal{H}$ by the rule (cf [18, Def. 17.2.2])

$$\varphi(r) \equiv \sup_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) \in \mathcal{O}(r)} \ \sup_{\substack{A \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}),\, B \in \sigma(\mathcal{B}) \\ \mu_0^B(B) > 0}} \frac{|\mu_0^B(A \cap B) - \mu_0^B(A)\mu_0^B(B)|}{\mu_0^B(B)}. \tag{2.8}$$

Definition 2.3 *We say that the measure μ_0^B satisfies the strong uniform Ibragimov mixing condition if $\varphi(r) \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$.*

S3 The measure μ_0^B satisfies the strong uniform Ibragimov mixing condition, and

$$\int\limits_0^{+\infty} r^2 \varphi^{1/2}(r)\,dr < \infty. \tag{2.9}$$

Remark 2.4 (i) The examples of the measures μ_0^B with zero mean satisfying conditions (2.7), **S2** and **S3** are given in [6].

(ii) Instead of the *strong uniform* Ibragimov mixing condition, it suffices to assume the *uniform* Rosenblatt mixing condition [26] together with a higher degree (> 2) in the bound (2.7), i.e., to assume that there exists a δ, $\delta > 0$, such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(|\varphi_0(x)|^{2+\delta} + |\nabla\varphi_0(x)|^{2+\delta} + |\pi_0(x)|^{2+\delta}\right) < \infty.$$

In this case, the condition (2.9) needs the following modification: $\displaystyle\int_0^{+\infty} r^2\alpha^p(r)dr<\infty$, where $p=\min(\delta/(2+\delta),1/2)$, $\alpha(r)$ is the Rosenblatt mixing coefficient defined as in (2.8) but without $\mu_0^B(B)$ in the denominator.

2.4 Convergence to equilibrium for heat reservoir

We first study the Cauchy problem for the free wave (or Klein–Gordon) equation,

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \ddot\varphi_t(x)=(\Delta-m^2)\varphi_t(x),\quad t\in\mathbb{R},\quad x\in\mathbb{R}^3,\\ \varphi_t(x)|_{t=0}=\varphi_0(x),\quad \dot\varphi_t(x)|_{t=0}=\pi_0(x),\end{array}\right. \tag{2.10}$$

where $m\ge 0$. The following lemma is proved in [6, p.7] for $m=0$ and in [7, p.1225] for $m\neq 0$.

Lemma 2.5 *(i) For any $\phi_0=(\varphi_0,\pi_0)\in\mathcal{H}$, there exists a unique solution $\phi_t=(\varphi_t(x),\dot\varphi_t(x))\in C(\mathbb{R},\mathcal{H})$ to the Cauchy problem (2.10).*
(ii) For any $t\in\mathbb{R}$, the operator $W_t:\phi_0\mapsto\phi_t$ is continuous in $\mathcal{H}$.

Remark 2.6 *Denoting $\phi_t=(\varphi_t,\pi_t)$, $t\in\mathbb{R}$, we rewrite (2.10) in the form*

$$\dot\phi_t=\mathcal{L}_B(\phi_t),\quad t\in\mathbb{R},\quad \phi_t|_{t=0}=\phi_0, \tag{2.11}$$

with $\mathcal{L}_B=\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\ \Delta-m^2&0\end{pmatrix}$. In the Fourier transform representation, system (2.10) becomes $\dot{\hat\phi}_t(k)=\hat{\mathcal{L}}_B(k)\hat\phi_t(k)$, hence $\hat\phi_t(k)=\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t(k)\hat\phi_0(k)$, where $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t(k)=\exp(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_B(k)t)$. Here we denote

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_B(k)=\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\ -\omega^2(k)&0\end{pmatrix},\qquad \hat{\mathcal{G}}_t(k)=\begin{pmatrix}\cos\omega t&\dfrac{\sin\omega t}{\omega}\\ -\omega\sin\omega t&\cos\omega t\end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.12}$$

where $\omega\equiv\omega(k)=\sqrt{|k|^2+m^2}$. Hence, the solution of (2.11) is $\phi_t=W_t\phi_0=\mathcal{G}_t(\cdot)*\phi_0$, where the Green function $\mathcal{G}_t(x)=F^{-1}_{k\to x}[\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t(k)]$, $*$ stands for the convolution.

Let $\mathcal{E}_m(x)$ be the fundamental solution of the operator $-\Delta+m^2$, i.e., $(-\Delta+m^2)\mathcal{E}_m(x)=\delta(x)$. Since $d=3$, $\mathcal{E}_m(x)=\frac{e^{-m|x|}}{4\pi|x|}$. Define, for almost all $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^3$, the matrix-valued function $Q_\infty^B(x,y)=q_\infty(x-y)$, where

$$q_\infty=\left(q_\infty^{ij}\right)_{i,j=0}^1=\frac12\begin{pmatrix}q_0^{00}+\mathcal{E}_m*q_0^{11}&q_0^{01}-q_0^{10}\\ q_0^{10}-q_0^{01}&q_0^{11}+(-\Delta+m^2)q_0^{00}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.13}$$

Here q_0^{ij}, $i,j=0,1$, are correlation functions of μ_0^B (see condition **S2**), and $*$ stands for the convolution of distributions. We can rewrite q_∞ in the Fourier transform as

$$\hat q_\infty(k)=\frac12\Big(\hat q_0(k)+\hat C(k)\hat q_0(k)\hat C^T(k)\Big), \tag{2.14}$$

where $(\,)^T$ denotes a matrix transposition, and

$$\hat C(k):=\begin{pmatrix}0&\omega^{-1}(k)\\ -\omega(k)&0\end{pmatrix},\quad \omega(k)=\sqrt{|k|^2+m^2}. \tag{2.15}$$

Remark 2.7 (i) According to [18, Lemma 17.2.3], the derivatives $D^\alpha q_0^{ij}$ are bounded by mixing coefficient: $\forall\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^3_+$ with $|\alpha|\le 2-i-j$ (including $\alpha=0$), $i,j=0,1$,

$$|D^\alpha q_0^{ij}(z)|\le C\varphi^{1/2}(|z|),\quad \forall z\in\mathbb{R}^3.$$

Therefore, $D^\alpha q_0^{ij}\in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $p\ge 1$ (see [6, p.16]). Hence, $q_\infty^{ij}\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ if $m\ne 0$ by (2.13). If $m=0$, (2.9) implies the existence of the convolution $\mathcal{E}*q_0^{11}$.
(ii) The matrix $\hat q_\infty(k)=(\hat q_\infty^{ij}(k))_{i,j=0}^1$ satisfies the *equilibrium condition*, i.e.,
$\hat q_\infty^{11}(k)=\omega^2(k)\hat q_\infty^{00}(k)$, $\hat q_\infty^{01}(k)=-\hat q_\infty^{10}(k)$. Moreover, $\hat q_\infty^{00}(k)\ge 0$, $\hat q_\infty^{01}(k)^*=-\hat q_\infty^{01}(k)$.

Let $S(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be the set of all functions $f\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\sup_x|\langle x\rangle^\beta D^\alpha f(x)|<\infty$ for all indices α,β. Denote by $\mathcal{Q}_\infty^B(f,f)$ the real quadratic form on $\mathcal{S}_0\equiv[S(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$ defined by

$$\mathcal{Q}_\infty^B(f,f)=\langle Q_\infty^B(x,y),f(x)\otimes f(y)\rangle=\langle q_\infty(x-y),f(x)\otimes f(y)\rangle. \tag{2.16}$$

Theorem 2.8 *(see [6, 7]) Let the measure μ_0^B have zero mean and satisfy conditions (2.7),* **S2** *and* **S3**. *Then (i) the measures $\mu_t^B\equiv W_t^*\mu_0^B$ weakly converge as $t\to\infty$ on the space $\mathcal{H}^{-\varepsilon}$ for each $\varepsilon>0$. This means the convergence*

$$\int f(\phi)\mu_t^B(d\phi)\to\int f(\phi)\mu_\infty^B(d\phi)\quad as\quad t\to\infty$$

for any bounded continuous functional $f(\phi)$ on $\mathcal{H}^{-\varepsilon}$.
(ii) The limit measure μ_∞^B is a translation-invariant Gaussian measure on $\mathcal{H}$.
(iii) The characteristic functional of μ_∞^B is of the form $\hat\mu_\infty^B(f)=\exp\{-\frac12\mathcal{Q}_\infty^B(f,f)\}$, $f\in\mathcal{S}_0$.
(iv) The limit measure μ_∞^B is invariant, i.e., $W_t^*\mu_\infty^B=\mu_\infty^B$, $t\in\mathbb{R}$.
(v) The correlation matrices of μ_t^B converge to a limit, i.e., for any $f\in\mathcal{S}_0$,

$$\int|\langle\phi,f\rangle|^2\mu_t^B(d\phi)=\int|\langle W_t\phi,f\rangle|^2\mu_0^B(d\phi)\to\mathcal{Q}_\infty^B(f,f)\quad as\ \ t\to\infty.$$

(vi) The flow W_t is mixing w.r.t. μ_∞^B (see also Section 7).

2.5 Convergence to equilibrium for the coupled system

To formulate the main result for the coupled system we introduce the following notations. Let W_t' denote the operator adjoint to W_t:

$$\langle\phi,W_t'f\rangle=\langle W_t\phi,f\rangle,\quad \text{for }\ f\in[S(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2,\quad \phi\in\mathcal{H},\quad t\in\mathbb{R}.$$

For $Z=(f,u,v)\in\mathcal{D}$, i.e., $f\in[C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$, $(u,v)\in\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3$, we define

$$\Omega(Z):=f_*(x)+\alpha(x)\cdot u+\beta(x)\cdot v. \tag{2.17}$$

Here $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3)$, $\beta=(\beta_1,\beta_2,\beta_3)=\mathcal{L}_B^T\alpha$,

$$\alpha_i(x)\ :=\ \sum_{r=1}^3\int_0^{+\infty}\mathcal{N}_{ir}(s)W'_{-s}\nabla_r\rho_0\,ds,\quad \text{with }\ \rho_0:=(\rho,0), \tag{2.18}$$

$$\beta_i(x)\ :=\ \sum_{r=1}^3\int_0^{+\infty}\mathcal{N}_{ir}(s)W'_{-s}\nabla_r\rho^0\,ds,\quad \text{with }\ \rho^0:=(0,\rho),\quad i=1,2,3, \tag{2.19}$$

the matrix-valued function $\mathcal{N}(s) = (\mathcal{N}_{ir}(s))_{i,r=1}^3$, $s > 0$, is the inverse Laplace transform of $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\lambda)$ defined in (3.10), and

$$f_*(x) := f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \int\limits_0^{+\infty} \left(W'_{-s}\alpha_i\right)(x) \left\langle W_s \nabla_i \rho^0, f\right\rangle ds. \tag{2.20}$$

Definition 2.9 *μ_t is a Borel probability measure in $\mathcal{E}$ which gives the distribution of Y_t: $\mu_t(B) = \mu_0(S_t^{-1}B)$, $\forall B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E})$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 2.10 *Let conditions* **A1**–**A3** *and* **S0**–**S3** *hold. Then*
(i) the measures μ_t weakly converge in the Fréchet spaces $\mathcal{E}^{-\varepsilon}$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\mu_t \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}^{-\varepsilon}} \mu_\infty \quad as \quad t \to \infty, \tag{2.21}$$

where μ_∞ is a limit measure on $\mathcal{E}$. This means the convergence

$$\int f(Y)\mu_t(dY) \to \int f(Y)\mu_\infty(dY) \quad as \quad t \to \infty$$

for any bounded continuous functional $f(Y)$ on $\mathcal{E}^{-\varepsilon}$.
(ii) The limit measure μ_∞ is a Gaussian equilibrium measure on $\mathcal{E}$. The limit characteristic functional has the form $\hat{\mu}_\infty(Z) = \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Q}_\infty(Z,Z)\}$, $Z \in \mathcal{D}$. $\mathcal{Q}_\infty(Z,Z)$ denotes the real quadratic form on $\mathcal{D}$,

$$\mathcal{Q}_\infty(Z,Z) = \mathcal{Q}_\infty^B(\Omega(Z), \Omega(Z)), \tag{2.22}$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_\infty^B$ is defined in (2.16), and $\Omega(Z)$ is defined in (2.17).
(iii) The correlation functions of μ_t converge to a limit, i.e., for any $Z \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$\int |\langle Y, Z\rangle|^2 \mu_t(dY) = \mathbb{E}|\langle Y_t, Z\rangle|^2 \to \mathcal{Q}_\infty(Z,Z) \quad as \;\; t \to \infty.$$

(iv) The measure μ_∞ is invariant, i.e., $S_t^*\mu_\infty = \mu_\infty$, $\quad t \in \mathbb{R}$.
(v) The flow S_t is mixing w.r.t. μ_∞, i.e., $\forall f, g \in L^2(\mathcal{E}, \mu_\infty)$ the following convergence holds,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \int f(S_tY)g(Y)\mu_\infty(dY) = \int f(Y)\mu_\infty(dY) \int g(Y)\mu_\infty(dY).$$

The assertions (i), (ii) of Theorem 2.10 follow from Propositions 2.11 and 2.12 below.

Proposition 2.11 *The family of the measures $\{\mu_t,\, t \geq 0\}$, is weakly compact in $\mathcal{E}^{-\varepsilon}$ with any $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Proposition 2.12 *For any $Z \in \mathcal{D}$,*

$$\hat{\mu}_t(Z) \equiv \int \exp(i\langle Y, Z\rangle)\, \mu_t(dY) \to \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Q}_\infty(Z,Z)\}, \quad t \to \infty.$$

Proposition 2.11 (Proposition 2.12) provides the existence (resp. uniqueness) of the limit measure μ_∞. Proposition 2.11 is proved in Section 4, Proposition 2.12 and the assertion (iii) of Theorem 2.10 are proved in Section 6. Theorem 2.10 (iv) follows from (2.21) since the group S_t is continuous in $\mathcal{E}$ by Proposition 2.2 (ii). The assertion (v) is proved in Section 7.

3 Long-time behavior of the solutions

Using the operator W_t, we rewrite the system (2.1) in the form

$$\phi_t = W_t\phi_0 + \int\limits_0^t q_s \cdot W_{t-s}\nabla\rho^0\,ds, \quad x\in\mathbb{R}^3, \quad t\in\mathbb{R}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\ddot{q}_t = -\omega^2 q_t + \langle\nabla\rho_0,\phi_t\rangle = -\omega^2 q_t + \int\limits_0^t D(t-s)q_s\,ds + F(t), \tag{3.2}$$

where $\phi_t = (\varphi_t(\cdot),\pi_t(\cdot))$, $F(t)$ denotes the vector-valued function, $F(t) = \langle\nabla\rho_0, W_t\phi_0\rangle$, $D(t)$ stands for the matrix-valued function with entries

$$D_{ij}(t) := \langle\nabla_i\rho_0, W_t\nabla_j\rho^0\rangle = \langle\nabla_i\rho, g_t * \nabla_j\rho\rangle = (2\pi)^{-3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k_ik_j\,\hat{g}_t(k)|\hat{\rho}(k)|^2\,dk, \tag{3.3}$$

with $g_t(x) := F^{-1}_{k\to x}\left[\frac{\sin\omega(k)t}{\omega(k)}\right]$, $x\in\mathbb{R}^3$, $\omega(k) = \sqrt{|k|^2+m^2}$, $i,j = 1,2,3$.

In sections 3 and 5, we study the long-time behavior of the solutions $Y_t = (\phi_t,\xi_t)$ of problem (2.3) by the following way. In Section 3.1 we prove the time decay for the solutions q_t of (3.2) with $F\equiv 0$ (see Theorem 3.1). Then we establish the time decay for the solutions Y_t of (2.3) in the case when the initial data $\phi_0(x) = 0$ for $|x|\ge R_0$ (Section 3.2). Finally, for any initial data $Y_0\in\mathcal{E}$, we derive the long-time asymptotics of the solution Y_t in the mean (Section 5).

At first, consider the Cauchy problem for Eqn. (3.2) with $F(t)\equiv 0$, i.e.,

$$\ddot{q}_t = -\omega^2 q_t + \int\limits_0^t D(t-s)q_s\,ds, \quad t\in\mathbb{R}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$q_t|_{t=0} = q_0, \quad \dot{q}_t|_{t=0} = p_0. \tag{3.5}$$

For the solutions q_t of (3.4)–(3.5), the following assertion holds.

Theorem 3.1 *Let conditions* **A1**–**A3** *hold. Then* $q_t\in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, *and the following bound holds,* $|q_t| + |\dot{q}_t| \le C\varepsilon_m(t)(|q_0| + |p_0|)$, *where*

$$\varepsilon_m(t) = \left\{\begin{array}{ll} e^{-\delta|t|} \quad \text{with a } \delta>0, & \text{if } m=0,\\ (1+|t|)^{-3/2}, & \text{if } m\ne 0.\end{array}\right. \tag{3.6}$$

In next subsection, we prove the exponential decay for q_t in the case $m=0$. The case $m\ne 0$ is considered in Appendix B.

3.1 Time decay of q_t: Case $m = 0$ and $F = 0$

To prove Theorem 3.1, we solve the Cauchy problem (3.4)–(3.5) by using the Laplace transform,

$$\tilde{q}(\lambda) = \int\limits_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t}q_t\,dt, \quad \operatorname{Re}\lambda > 0.$$

Then Eqn. (3.4) becomes

$$\lambda^2\tilde{q}(\lambda) = -\omega^2\tilde{q}(\lambda) + \tilde{D}(\lambda)\tilde{q}(\lambda) + p_0 + \lambda q_0, \tag{3.7}$$

where the entries of $\tilde{D}(\lambda)$ are

$$\tilde{D}_{ij}(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_i \rho(y)(-\Delta + m^2 + \lambda^2)^{-1} \nabla_j \rho(y)\, dy, \quad i,j = 1,2,3. \tag{3.8}$$

We rewrite Eqn. (3.7) as

$$\tilde{q}(\lambda) = \Big[(\lambda^2 + \omega^2)I - \tilde{D}(\lambda)\Big]^{-1} (p_0 + \lambda q_0) \equiv \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\lambda)(p_0 + \lambda q_0), \tag{3.9}$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\lambda)$ stands for the 3×3 matrix of the form

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\lambda) = A^{-1}(\lambda), \text{ with } A(\lambda) := (\lambda^2 + \omega^2)I - \tilde{D}(\lambda) \quad \text{for } \operatorname{Re}\lambda > 0. \tag{3.10}$$

We first establish properties of $A(\lambda)$. Write $\mathbb{C}_\beta := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}\lambda > \beta\}$ for $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 3.2 *Let $m = 0$, and let conditions* **A1**–**A3** *hold. Then*
(i) $A(\lambda)$ admits an analytic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$;
(ii) for every $\beta > 0$ $\exists N_\beta > 0$ such that $v \cdot A(\lambda)v \geq C|\lambda|^2|v|^2$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{-\beta}$ with $|\lambda| \geq N_\beta$ and every $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$.
(iii) There exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $v \cdot A(\lambda)v \neq 0$ for $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_{-\delta}$ and for every $v \neq 0$.

Lemma 3.2 is a modification of Lemma 7.2 of [23], where the result is proved for $\rho(x) = \rho_r(|x|)$ and $d = 3$.

Proof. (i) Let $\mathcal{E}_\lambda(x)$ be the fundamental solution of the operator $-\Delta + \lambda^2$. In particular, if $d = 3$, $\mathcal{E}_\lambda(x) = \frac{e^{-\lambda|x|}}{4\pi|x|}$. We represent the entries of the matrix $\tilde{D}(\lambda)$ as

$$\tilde{D}_{ij}(\lambda) = \int\int \nabla_i \rho(y) \mathcal{E}_\lambda(y - z) \nabla_j \rho(z)\, dy dz. \tag{3.11}$$

The r.h.s. of (3.11) is defined and analytic for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then $\tilde{D}_{ij}(\lambda)$ is defined and has an analytic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$. Therefore, property (i) follows.

(ii) By (3.11), $\tilde{D}_{ij}(\lambda) \to 0$ as $|\lambda| \to \infty$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{-\beta}$. This implies property (ii).

(iii) Note first that the matrix $A(\lambda)$ is positive definite for $\operatorname{Im}\lambda = 0$. Indeed, by condition **A1**, we have

$$v \cdot A(\lambda)v = (\lambda^2 + \omega^2)|v|^2 - \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{(v \cdot k)^2}{k^2 + \lambda^2} |\hat{\rho}(k)|^2\, dk \geq \omega^2|v|^2 - v \cdot K_0 v > 0,$$

for any $v \neq 0$. Second, for $y \in \mathbb{R}^1 \setminus \{0\}$, we find

$$\tilde{D}_{ij}(iy + 0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{k_i k_j |\hat{\rho}(k)|^2}{k^2 - (y - i0)^2} dk = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{r^4 g_{ij}(r)}{(r - y + i0)(r + y - i0)}\, dr,$$

where

$$g_{ij}(r) := (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{|\theta|=1} \theta_i \theta_j |\hat{\rho}(r\theta)|^2\, dS_\theta.$$

Note that $g_{ij} \in C^\infty([0, +\infty))$, and $\max\limits_{r \in [0,\infty)} (1 + r)^N |g_{ij}(r)| < \infty$ for any $N > 0$ by condition **A2**. Applying the Plemelj formula (see [15]) and condition **A3**, we obtain

$$v \cdot \operatorname{Im} \tilde{D}(iy + 0)v = -\frac{\pi}{2}\, y^3\, (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{|\theta|=1} (v \cdot \theta)^2 |\hat{\rho}(|y|\theta)|^2\, dS_\theta \neq 0 \tag{3.12}$$

for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$. Hence, $v \cdot \operatorname{Im} A(iy+0)v = -v \cdot \operatorname{Im} \tilde{D}(iy+0)v \neq 0$. Therefore, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $v \cdot \operatorname{Im} A(iy+x)v \neq 0$ for $|x| \leq \delta$. Lemma 3.2 is proved. ■

Denote by $\mathcal{N}(t)$ the inverse Laplace transformation of $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\lambda)$,

$$\mathcal{N}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int\limits_{-i\infty-\delta}^{i\infty-\delta} e^{\lambda t} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\lambda)\, d\lambda \quad \text{for } \ t > 0. \tag{3.13}$$

Lemma 3.3 *Let $m = 0$, and let conditions* **A1**–**A3** *hold. Then, for $j = 0, 1, \ldots$,*

$$|\mathcal{N}^{(j)}(t)| \leq C e^{-\delta t}, \quad t > 1. \tag{3.14}$$

Proof. For $j = 0$, the bound on $\mathcal{N}(t)$ follows by Lemma 3.2. To prove the bound for $\dot{\mathcal{N}}(t)$, we consider $\lambda \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\lambda)$ and prove the bound

$$\Big| v \cdot (\lambda \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\lambda))' v \Big| \leq \frac{C|v|^2}{1+|\lambda|^2} \quad \text{for } \ \lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_{-\delta}. \tag{3.15}$$

Therefore,

$$\Big| t\dot{\mathcal{N}}(t) \Big| = C \left| \int\limits_{\operatorname{Re} \lambda = -\delta} e^{\lambda t} (\lambda \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\lambda))'\, d\lambda \right| \leq C_1 e^{-\delta t},$$

and bound (3.14) for $\dot{\mathcal{N}}(t)$ follows. By Lemma 3.2 (ii), to prove bound (3.15) it suffices to show that $|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}'_{ij}(\lambda)| \leq C(1+|\lambda|)^{-3}$. By formula (3.11), we have

$$\tilde{D}'_{ij}(\lambda) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad |\lambda| \to \infty \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{-\delta}.$$

Therefore, (3.10) and Lemma 3.2 yield

$$|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}'_{ij}(\lambda)| \leq \frac{C}{1+|\lambda|^4} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{d} |A'_{ij}(\lambda)| \right) \leq \frac{C_1}{1+|\lambda|^3} \quad \text{as } \ |\lambda| \to \infty.$$

This implies (3.15). Bound (3.14) with $j \geq 2$ is proved in a similar way. ■

Corollary 3.4 *The solution of the Cauchy problem (3.4)–(3.5) has a form $q_t = \mathcal{N}(t) p_0 + \dot{\mathcal{N}}(t) q_0$. Hence, Theorem 3.1 follows from Lemma 3.3 if $m = 0$, and from Corollary 9.8 if $m \neq 0$.*

Corollary 3.5 *Denote by $V(t)$ a solving operator to the Cauchy problem (3.4)–(3.5). Then the Duhamel representation for the solution of problem (3.2), (3.5) gives*

$$\begin{pmatrix} q_t \\ \dot{q}_t \end{pmatrix} = V(t) \begin{pmatrix} q_0 \\ p_0 \end{pmatrix} + \int\limits_0^t V(s) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ F(t-s) \end{pmatrix} ds, \quad \text{with } \ V(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\mathcal{N}}(t) & \mathcal{N}(t) \\ \ddot{\mathcal{N}}(t) & \dot{\mathcal{N}}(t) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.16}$$

and $F(t) = \langle \nabla \rho_0, W_t \phi_0 \rangle$. Hence, Theorem 3.1 implies the bound for the solutions of (3.2):

$$|q_t| + |\dot{q}_t| \leq C_1 \varepsilon_m(t)(|q_0| + |p_0|) + C_2 \int\limits_0^t \varepsilon_m(s) |F(t-s)|\, ds. \tag{3.17}$$

3.2 Time decay of Y_t when $\phi_0(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq R_0$

For the solution Y_t to (2.3), the following bound holds.

Lemma 3.6 *Let conditions* **A1**–**A3** *hold and let* $Y_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ *be such that*

$$\varphi_0(x) = \pi_0(x) = 0 \quad \textit{for } |x| > R_0, \tag{3.18}$$

with some $R_0 > 0$*. Then there exists a constant* $C = C(R, R_0) > 0$ *such that*

$$\|Y_t\|_{\mathcal{E},R} \leq C\varepsilon_m(t)\|Y_0\|_{\mathcal{E},R_0}, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{3.19}$$

for every $R > 0$*, where* $\varepsilon_m(t)$ *is defined in (3.6).*

Proof Step (i): At first, we prove bound (3.19) for $\xi_t := (q_t, p_t)$. Let $m = 0$. Then, by condition (3.18) and the strong Huygens principle,

$$W_t\phi_0 = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in B_R \quad \text{and} \quad t > R + R_0. \tag{3.20}$$

Since $F(t) \equiv \langle \nabla\rho_0, W_t\phi_0\rangle = -\langle \rho_0, \nabla W_t\phi_0\rangle$, bound (3.17) and condition **A2** imply

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi_t| &\leq C_1 e^{-\delta t}|\xi_0| + C(\rho)\int_0^t e^{-\delta s}\|\nabla(W_{t-s}\phi_0)^0\|_{L^2(B_{R_\rho})}\,ds \\ &\leq C_1 e^{-\delta t}|\xi_0| + C_2 \int_{t-R_0-R_\rho}^{t} e^{-\delta s}\|\phi_0\|_{R_0}\,ds \leq Ce^{-\delta t}\|Y_0\|_{\mathcal{E},R_0} \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

for $t > R_0 + R_\rho$. Let $m \neq 0$. Instead of (3.20), we apply the well-known bound ($d = 3$):

$$\|W_t\phi_0\|_R \leq C(1+t)^{-3/2}\|\phi_0\|_{R_0}, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{3.22}$$

Hence, $|F(t)| \leq C(1+t)^{-3/2}\|\phi_0\|_{R_0}$, and bound (3.19) for ξ_t follows from (3.17).

Step (ii): Now we prove bound (3.19) for ϕ_t. Let $m = 0$. Then Eqn. (3.1), condition (3.18), bounds (3.20) and (3.21) yield

$$\|\phi_t\|_R \leq C \int_{t-R_\rho-R}^{t} e^{-\delta s}\|Y_0\|_{\mathcal{E},R_0}\,ds \leq Ce^{-\delta t}\|Y_0\|_{\mathcal{E},R_0} \quad \text{for} \quad t > R + \max\{R_\rho, R_0\}.$$

Let $m \neq 0$. Therefore, Eqn. (3.1), bound (3.22), estimate (3.19) for q_t yield the inequality $\|\phi_t\|_R \leq C(1+t)^{-3/2}\|Y_0\|_{\mathcal{E},R_0}$. This proves Lemma 3.6. ■

4 Compactness of measures μ_t

Proposition 2.11 can be deduced from bound (4.1) below by the Prokhorov Theorem [29, Lemma II.3.1] using the method of [29, Theorem XII.5.2], since the embedding $\mathcal{E} \equiv \mathcal{E}^0 \subset \mathcal{E}^{-\varepsilon}$ is compact for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

Lemma 4.1 *Let conditions* **A1**–**A3** *and* **S0**–**S2** *hold. Then*

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \mathbb{E}\|S_tY_0\|^2_{\mathcal{E},R} \leq C(R) < \infty, \ \ \forall R > 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. Let us write $S_t^0 = e^{\mathcal{L}_0 t}$, where $\mathcal{L}_0$ is defined in (8.3). At first, note that

$$\sup_{t\geq 0} \mathbb{E}\|S_t^0 Y_0\|^2_{\mathcal{E},R} \leq C(R), \quad \forall R>0. \tag{4.2}$$

Indeed, by the notation (2.5), $\|S_t^0 Y_0\|^2_{\mathcal{E},R} = \|W_t\phi_0\|^2_R + |q_t^0|^2 + |\dot q_t^0|^2$, where q_t^0 is a solution to the Cauchy problem

$$\ddot q_t^0 + \omega^2 q_t^0 = 0, \quad t\in\mathbb{R}, \quad (q_t^0, \dot q_t^0)|_{t=0} = (q_0, p_0).$$

Hence, $|q_t^0| + |\dot q_t^0| \leq C(|q_0| + |p_0|)$. By [8, Proposition 3.2] and [10, Proposition 3.1], we have

$$\sup_{t\geq 0} \mathbb{E}\|W_t\phi_0\|^2_R \leq C(R), \quad \forall R>0. \tag{4.3}$$

It implies (4.2). Further, we represent the solution to (2.3) as

$$S_t Y_0 = S_t^0 Y_0 + \int_0^t S_{t-s} B S_s^0 Y_0\, ds, \tag{4.4}$$

where the operator B is defined in (8.3). Hence, (3.19) and (4.2) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|S_t Y_0\|^2_{\mathcal{E},R} &\leq \mathbb{E}\|S_t^0 Y_0\|^2_{\mathcal{E},R} + \mathbb{E}\int_0^t \|S_{t-s} B S_s^0 Y_0\|^2_{\mathcal{E},R}\, ds \\ &\leq C(R) + \int_0^t \varepsilon_m^2(t-s)\mathbb{E}\|S_s^0 Y_0\|^2_{\mathcal{E},R_\rho}\, ds \leq C_1(R) < \infty. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

5 Asymptotic behavior of Y_t in mean

Proposition 5.1 *Let $d=3$, and let conditions* **A1**–**A3** *and* **S0**–**S3** *be satisfied. Then*

(i) the following bounds hold,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|q_t - \langle W_t\phi_0, \alpha\rangle|^2 &\leq C\tilde\varepsilon_m(t), \qquad (5.1)\\ \mathbb{E}|p_t - \langle W_t\phi_0, \beta\rangle|^2 &\leq C\tilde\varepsilon_m(t), \quad t>1, \qquad (5.2) \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde\varepsilon_m(t) = e^{-2\delta t}$ if $m=0$, and $\tilde\varepsilon_m(t) = (1+t)^{-1}$ otherwise, the functions α and β are defined in (2.18) and (2.19).

(ii) Let $f \in [C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$ with $\operatorname{supp} f \subset B_R$. Then, for $t \geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}\Big|\langle \phi_t, f\rangle - \langle W_t\phi_0, f_*\rangle\Big|^2 \leq C\tilde\varepsilon_m(t), \tag{5.3}$$

where the function f_* is defined in (2.20).

Proof. (i) At first, representation (3.16), Theorem 3.1 yield

$$\mathbb{E}\Big|q_t - \int_0^t \mathcal{N}(s)\,\langle W_{t-s}\phi_0, \nabla\rho_0\rangle\, ds\Big|^2 \leq C\varepsilon_m^2(t) \tag{5.4}$$

with $\varepsilon_m(t)$ from (3.6). Further,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left|\int\limits_t^{+\infty}\mathcal{N}_{ir}(s)\langle W_{t-s}\phi_0,\nabla_r\rho_0\rangle\,ds\right|^2\\
&= \int\limits_t^{+\infty}\mathcal{N}_{ir}(s_1)\,ds_1\int\limits_t^{+\infty}\mathcal{N}_{ir}(s_2)\mathbb{E}\Big(\langle W_{t-s_1}\phi_0,\nabla_r\rho_0\rangle\langle W_{t-s_2}\phi_0,\nabla_r\rho_0\rangle\Big)\,ds_2.
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $t, s_1, s_2\in\mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Big|\mathbb{E}\Big(\langle W_{t-s_1}\phi_0,\nabla_r\rho_0\rangle\langle W_{t-s_2}\phi_0,\nabla_r\rho_0\rangle\Big)\Big| &\le C\sup_{\tau\in\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{E}|\langle W_\tau\phi_0,\nabla_r\rho_0\rangle|^2\\
&\le C_1\sup_{\tau\in\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{E}\|W_\tau\phi_0\|^2_{R_\rho}\le C_2<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

by bound (4.3). Hence, applying bounds (3.14) and (9.12) for $\mathcal{N}(t)$, we obtain

$$
\mathbb{E}\left|\int\limits_t^{+\infty}\mathcal{N}(s)\langle W_{t-s}\phi_0,\nabla\rho_0\rangle\,ds\right|^2\le\left(\int\limits_t^{+\infty}\varepsilon_m(s)\,ds\right)^2=C_1\,\tilde\varepsilon_m(t). \tag{5.5}
$$

Therefore, (5.1) follows from (5.4), (5.5) and (2.18) because

$$
\langle W_{t-s}\phi_0,\nabla\rho_0\rangle=\big\langle W_t\phi_0, W'_{-s}\nabla\rho_0\big\rangle\,.
$$

The bound (5.2) can be proved in a similar way.

(ii) Let $f\in[C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$ with $\operatorname{supp} f\subset B_R$. By Eqn. (3.1),

$$
\langle\phi_t,f\rangle=\langle W_t\phi_0,f\rangle+\int\limits_0^t q_{t-s}\cdot\big\langle W_s\nabla\rho^0,f\big\rangle\,ds. \tag{5.6}
$$

Note that

$$
\langle W_s\nabla\rho^0,f\rangle=\begin{cases}0\ \text{ for }\ s>R_\rho+R & \text{if }\ m=0,\\ \mathcal{O}((1+s)^{-3/2}) & \text{if }\ m\ne 0.\end{cases} \tag{5.7}
$$

Applying the Parseval inequality and bounds (5.1) and (5.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\Big|\int\limits_0^t\Big(q_{t-s}-\langle W_{t-s}\phi_0,\alpha\rangle\Big)\cdot\big\langle W_s\nabla\rho^0,f\big\rangle\,ds\Big|^2 &\le \Big(\int_0^t(\tilde\varepsilon_m(t-s))^{1/2}|\big\langle W_s\nabla\rho^0,f\big\rangle|\,ds\Big)^2\\
&\le C\tilde\varepsilon_m(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}
$$

Indeed, for $m\ne 0$, by direct calculation,

$$
\int_0^t(\tilde\varepsilon_m(t-s))^{1/2}|\big\langle W_s\nabla\rho^0,f\big\rangle|\,ds\le C\int_0^t(1+t-s)^{-1/2}(1+s)^{-3/2}\,ds\le C_1(1+t)^{-1/2}.
$$

Write $I(t):=\mathbb{E}\Big|\int\limits_t^\infty\langle W_{t-s}\phi_0,\alpha\rangle\cdot\langle W_s\nabla\rho^0,f\rangle\,ds\Big|^2$. If $m=0$, then $I(t)=0$ for $t>R_\rho+R$ by (5.7). If $m\ne 0$, then

$$
|I(t)|\le C\tilde\varepsilon_m(t). \tag{5.9}
$$

This follows from (5.7) and from the following estimate:

$$\mathbb{E}|\langle W_\tau\phi_0,\alpha\rangle|^2=\sum_{i=1}^{3}\mathbb{E}\Big|\sum_{r=1}^{3}\int\limits_0^{+\infty}\mathcal{N}_{ir}(s)\,\langle W_{\tau-s}\phi_0,\nabla_r\rho_0\rangle\,ds\Big|^2\le C<\infty,\quad\text{for}\ \ \tau\in\mathbb{R},$$

by (4.3), (3.14) and (9.12). Relation (5.6) and bounds (5.8) and (5.9) imply (5.3). ■

Corollary 5.2 *Let* $Z=(f,u,v)\in\mathcal{D}=[C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3$. *Then*

$$\langle Y_t,Z\rangle=\langle W_t\phi_0,\Omega(Z)\rangle+r(t),$$

where $\Omega(Z)$ *is defined in (2.17),* $\langle Y_t,Z\rangle=\langle\phi_t,f\rangle+q_t\cdot u+p_t\cdot v$, $Y_t=(\phi_t,q_t,p_t)$ *is a solution to the Cauchy problem (2.3), and* $\mathbb{E}\,(|r(t)|^2)\le C\tilde\varepsilon_m(t)$.

6 Convergence of characteristic functionals

Proof of Proposition 2.12 By the triangle inequality,

$$\Big|\mathbb{E}e^{i\langle Y_t,Z\rangle}-e^{-\frac12\mathcal{Q}_\infty(Z,Z)}\Big|\le\Big|\mathbb{E}\Big(e^{i\langle Y_t,Z\rangle}-e^{i\langle W_t\phi_0,\Omega(Z)\rangle}\Big)\Big|+\Big|\mathbb{E}e^{i\langle W_t\phi_0,\Omega(Z)\rangle}-e^{-\frac12\mathcal{Q}_\infty(Z,Z)}\Big|.\quad(6.1)$$

The first term in the r.h.s. of (6.1) is estimated by

$$\mathbb{E}\Big|\langle Y_t,Z\rangle-\langle W_t\phi_0,\Omega(Z)\rangle\Big|\le\mathbb{E}|r(t)|\le\Big(\mathbb{E}|r(t)|^2\Big)^{1/2}\le C\tilde\varepsilon_m^{1/2}(t)\to0\quad\text{as}\ t\to\infty,\qquad(6.2)$$

by Corollary 5.2. It remains to prove the convergence $\mathbb{E}\exp\{i\langle W_t\phi_0,\Omega(Z)\rangle\}\equiv\hat\mu_t^B(\Omega(Z))$ to a limit as $t\to\infty$.

In [8, 10], we have proved the convergence of $\hat\mu_t^B(f)$ to a limit for $f\in\mathcal{D}_0\equiv[C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$. However, $\Omega(Z)\notin\mathcal{D}_0$ in general. Now we introduce a space H'_m such that $\Omega(Z)\in H'_m$ and the characteristic functionals $\hat\mu_t^B(f)$, $t\in\mathbb{R}$, are equicontinuous in H'_m. As before, $\omega(k)$ stands for $(|k|^2+m^2)^{1/2}$.

Definition 6.1 H'_m *is the space of the pairs* $f=(f^0,f^1)$ *of real-valued functions* f^0 *and* f^1 *such that* f^0, $\omega^{-1}\hat f^0\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $f^1\in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, *with the finite norm*

$$\|f\|_m^2:=\|f^0\|^2+\|\omega^{-1}(k)\hat f^0(k)\|^2+\|f^1\|^2+\|\nabla f^1\|^2.$$

If $m\ne0$, $H'_m=L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\oplus H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Note that $\Omega(Z)\in H'_m$ if $Z\in\mathcal{D}$. This follows from formulas (2.17)–(2.20) and from condition **A2**.

Remark 6.2 By conditions **S0**, **S2** and **S3**, the correlation functions $Q_0^{ij}(x,y)$ of the measure μ_0^B satisfy the following bound: for $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{Z}^3$, $|\alpha|\le1-i$, $|\beta|\le1-j$, $i,j=0,1$,

$$|D_{x,y}^{\alpha,\beta}Q_0^{ij}(x,y)|\le Ce_0\varphi^{1/2}(|x-y|),\quad x,y\in\mathbb{R}^3,\qquad(6.3)$$

according to [18, Lemma 17.2.3]. Therefore, by (2.9),

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^3}|D_{x,y}^{\alpha,\beta}Q_0^{ij}(x,y)|^p\,dy\le Ce_0^p\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^3}\varphi^{p/2}(|x-y|)\,dy\le C_1e_0^p\int\limits_0^\infty r^2\varphi^{1/2}(r)dr<\infty,\quad p\ge1.$$

Hence, by the Shur lemma, the quadratic form $\langle Q_0(x,y),f(x)\otimes f(y)\rangle$ is continuous in $[L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$. Moreover, the quadratic form $\mathcal{Q}_\infty^B(f,f)$ is also continuous in $[L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$.

Lemma 6.3 *(i) The quadratic form* $\mathcal{Q}_t^B(f,f) := \displaystyle\int |\langle \phi_0, f\rangle|^2 \mu_t^B(d\phi_0)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, *are equicontinuous on* H'_m. *(ii) The characteristic functionals* $\hat\mu_t^B(f)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, *are equicontinuous on* H'_m.

Proof. (i) It suffices to prove the uniform bound

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{Q}_t^B(f,f)| \le C\|f\|_m^2, \quad f \in H'_m. \tag{6.4}$$

Note that $\mathcal{Q}_t^B(f,f) = \langle Q_0(x,y), W'_t f(x) \otimes W'_t f(y)\rangle$. Then, by Remark 6.2,

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{Q}_t^B(f,f)| \le C \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \|W'_t f\|_{L^2}^2 \le C\|f\|_m^2,$$

since in the Fourier transform, we have

$$F_{x\to k}[W'_t f] = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\omega(k)t & -\omega(k)\sin\omega(k)t \\ \omega^{-1}(k)\sin\omega(k)t & \cos\omega(k)t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat f^0(k) \\ \hat f^1(k) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } f = (f^0, f^1). \tag{6.5}$$

(ii) By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and (6.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\hat\mu_t^B(f_1) - \hat\mu_t^B(f_2)\right| &= \left|\int \left(e^{i\langle\phi_0,f_1\rangle} - e^{i\langle\phi_0,f_2\rangle}\right)\mu_t^B(d\phi_0)\right| \le \int \left|e^{i\langle\phi_0,f_1-f_2\rangle} - 1\right| \mu_t^B(d\phi_0) \\ &\le \int |\langle\phi_0, f_1-f_2\rangle| \mu_t^B(d\phi_0) \le \sqrt{\int |\langle\phi_0, f_1-f_2\rangle|^2 \mu_t^B(d\phi_0)} \\ &= \sqrt{\mathcal{Q}_t^B(f_1-f_2, f_1-f_2)} \le C\|f_1-f_2\|_m. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

We return to the proof of Proposition 2.12. Since $\Omega(Z) \in H'_m$, Proposition 2.3 in [8] (or Proposition 3.3 in [10]) and Lemma 6.3, (ii) yield

$$\hat\mu_t^B(\Omega(Z)) \to \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Q}_\infty^B(\Omega(Z),\Omega(Z))\} \quad \text{as } t\to\infty,$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_\infty^B$ is defined in (2.16). This completes the proof of Proposition 2.12 and Theorem 2.10. $\blacksquare$

6.1 Convergence of correlation functions

Proposition 6.4 *Let all assumptions of Theorem 2.10 be fulfilled. Then, for* $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\langle Y_t, Z_1\rangle\langle Y_t, Z_2\rangle\right) \to \mathcal{Q}_\infty(Z_1, Z_2), \quad t\to\infty, \tag{6.6}$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_\infty(Z,Z)$ *is defind in (2.22).*

Proof. It suffices to prove the convergence of $\mathbb{E}|\langle Y_t, Z\rangle|^2$ to a limit as $t\to\infty$. It follows from Corollary 5.2 that for $Z \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$\mathbb{E}|\langle Y_t, Z\rangle|^2 = \mathbb{E}|\langle W_t\phi_0, \Omega(Z)\rangle|^2 + o(1) = \mathcal{Q}_t^B(\Omega(Z),\Omega(Z)) + o(1), \quad t\to\infty,$$

where $\Omega(Z)$ is defined in (2.17) and $\Omega(Z) \in H'_m$. Therefore, by Lemma 5.1 in [8] (or Proposition 6.1 in [10]) and by Lemma 6.3 (i), we have $\lim\limits_{t\to\infty} \mathcal{Q}_t^B(\Omega(Z),\Omega(Z)) = \mathcal{Q}_\infty^B(\Omega(Z),\Omega(Z))$. Formula (2.22) imply (6.6). $\blacksquare$

7 Ergodicity and mixing for limit measures

Denote by $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ ($\mathbb{E}_\infty^B$) the integral w.r.t. μ_∞ (μ_∞^B, respectively). In [5], we proved that W_t is mixing w.r.t. μ_∞^B, i.e., for any $f, g \in L_2(\mathcal{H}, \mu_\infty^B)$, the following convergence holds,

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty^B \left(f(W_t\phi) g(\phi) \right) \to \mathbb{E}_\infty^B f \, \mathbb{E}_\infty^B g \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty. \tag{7.1}$$

Recall that the limit measure μ_∞ is invariant by Theorem 2.10 (iv). Now we prove the mixing property for the flow S_t.

Theorem 7.1 *The flow S_t is mixing w.r.t. μ_∞, i.e., for any functions $f, g \in L_2(\mathcal{E}, \mu_\infty)$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty \left(f(S_tY) g(Y) \right) \to \mathbb{E}_\infty f \, \mathbb{E}_\infty g \quad \textit{as} \quad t \to \infty.$$

In particular, the flow S_t is ergodic w.r.t. μ_∞, i.e., for any $f \in L_2(\mathcal{E}, \mu_\infty)$,

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int\limits_0^T f(S_tY)\, dt = \mathbb{E}_\infty f(Y) \quad (\operatorname{mod} \mu_\infty).$$

To prove Theorem 7.1, we introduce new notations.

Represent $Y \in \mathcal{E}$ as $Y = (Y^0, Y^1)$ with $Y^0 = (\varphi(\cdot), q) \in H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d$, $Y^1 = (\pi(\cdot), p) \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d$, and $Z \in \mathcal{D}$ as $Z = (Z^0, Z^1)$ with $Z^0 = (f^0(\cdot), u^0)$, $Z^1 = (f^1(\cdot), u^1) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, introduce a "formal adjoint" operator S_t' on the space $\mathcal{D}$, by the rule

$$\langle S_tY, Z\rangle = \langle Y, S_t'Z\rangle, \quad Y \in \mathcal{E}, \quad Z \in \mathcal{D}. \tag{7.2}$$

Lemma 7.2 *For $Z \in \mathcal{D}$,*

$$S_t'Z = (\dot{f}_t(\cdot), \dot{u}_t, f_t(\cdot), u_t), \tag{7.3}$$

where $(f_t(x), u_t)$ is the solution of system (2.1) with the initial data (see (2.2)) $(\varphi_0, q_0, \pi_0, p_0) = (f^1, u^1, f^0, u^0)$.

Proof Differentiating (7.2) in t with $Y, Z \in \mathcal{D}$, we obtain $\langle \dot{S}_tY, Z\rangle = \langle Y, \dot{S}_t'Z\rangle$. The group S_t has the generator

$$\mathcal{L} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \mathcal{A} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{with} \ \mathcal{A} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (\Delta - m^2)\varphi + q \cdot \nabla\rho \\ -\omega^2 q + \langle \nabla\rho, \varphi\rangle \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7.4}$$

The generator of S_t' is the conjugate operator $\mathcal{L}' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{A} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Hence, (7.3) holds with $\begin{pmatrix} \ddot{f}_t(x) \\ \ddot{u}_t \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{A} \begin{pmatrix} f_t(x) \\ u_t \end{pmatrix}$. ■

Since the limit measure μ_∞ is Gaussian with zero mean, the proof of Theorem 7.1 reduces to a proof of the following convergence.

Lemma 7.3 *For any $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathcal{D}$,*

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty \Big(\langle S_tY, Z_1\rangle \langle Y, Z_2\rangle \Big) \to 0, \quad t \to \infty. \tag{7.5}$$

Proof First we note that, by relation (2.22),

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty\Big(\langle Y,Z_1\rangle\langle Y,Z_2\rangle\Big)=\mathbb{E}^B_\infty\Big(\langle\phi,\Omega(Z_1)\rangle\langle\phi,\Omega(Z_2)\rangle\Big),$$

where $\Omega(Z)$ is defined in (2.17). Secondly, for fixed t, we have $S'_tZ\in\mathcal{D}$. Further,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_\infty\Big(\langle S_tY,Z_1\rangle\langle Y,Z_2\rangle\Big)&=\mathbb{E}_\infty\Big(\langle Y,S'_tZ_1\rangle\langle Y,Z_2\rangle\Big)=\mathbb{E}^B_\infty\Big(\langle\phi,\Omega(S'_tZ_1)\rangle\langle\phi,\Omega(Z_2)\rangle\Big)\\&=\mathbb{E}^B_\infty\Big(\langle\phi,(\Omega S'_t-W'_t\Omega)Z_1\rangle\langle\phi,\Omega(Z_2)\rangle\Big)+\mathbb{E}^B_\infty\Big(\langle\phi,W'_t\Omega(Z_1)\rangle\langle\phi,\Omega(Z_2)\rangle\Big)\\&=I_1+I_2.\end{aligned}\tag{7.6}$$

Note that $\langle\phi,\Omega(Z)\rangle\in L_2(\mathcal{H},\mu^B_\infty)$ for all $Z\in\mathcal{D}$. Indeed, by (2.22),

$$\mathbb{E}^B_\infty|\langle\phi,\Omega(Z)\rangle|^2=\langle\mathcal{Q}^B_\infty,\Omega(Z)\otimes\Omega(Z)\rangle=\langle\mathcal{Q}_\infty,Z\otimes Z\rangle<\infty.$$

Therefore, the convergence (7.1) implies

$$\begin{aligned}I_2&\equiv\mathbb{E}^B_\infty\Big(\langle\phi,W'_t\Omega(Z_1)\rangle\langle\phi,\Omega(Z_2)\rangle\Big)\\&=\mathbb{E}^B_\infty\Big(\langle W_t\phi,\Omega(Z_1)\rangle\langle\phi,\Omega(Z_2)\rangle\Big)\to\mathbb{E}^B_\infty\langle\phi,\Omega(Z_1)\rangle\mathbb{E}^B_\infty\langle\phi,\Omega(Z_2)\rangle,\quad t\to\infty.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\mathbb{E}^B_\infty\langle\phi,\Omega(Z_i)\rangle=\mathbb{E}_\infty\langle Y,Z_i\rangle=0,\quad\text{for}\quad Z_i\in\mathcal{D},$$

because μ_∞ has zero mean. Therefore,

$$I_2\to0,\quad t\to\infty.\tag{7.7}$$

Now we prove that $\mathbb{E}^B_\infty|\langle\phi,\Omega(S'_tZ)-W'_t\Omega(Z)\rangle|^2=0$ for all $t>0$. This yields

$$I_1\equiv\mathbb{E}^B_\infty\Big(\langle\phi,(\Omega S'_t-W'_t\Omega)Z_1\rangle\langle\phi,\Omega(Z_2)\rangle\Big)=0.\tag{7.8}$$

Indeed, by Corollary 5.2,

$$\mathbb{E}|\langle S_{\tau+t}Y,Z\rangle-\langle W_{\tau+t}\phi,\Omega(Z)\rangle|^2\to0,\quad\tau\to\infty.$$

On the other hand, since $\langle S_{\tau+t}Y,Z\rangle=\langle S_\tau Y,S'_tZ\rangle$, we have, for all $t>0$,

$$\mathbb{E}|\langle S_\tau Y,S'_tZ\rangle-\langle W_\tau\phi,\Omega(S'_tZ)\rangle|^2\to0,\quad\tau\to\infty.$$

Therefore, by the triangle inequality,

$$A:=\mathbb{E}|\langle W_\tau\phi,\Omega(S'_tZ)\rangle-\langle W_{\tau+t}\,\phi,\Omega(Z)\rangle|^2\to0,\quad\tau\to\infty.$$

Since, $\langle W_{\tau+t}\,\phi,\Omega(Z)\rangle=\langle W_\tau\,\phi,W'_t\Omega(Z)\rangle$, we obtain

$$A=\mathbb{E}|\langle W_\tau\phi,\Omega(S'_tZ)-W'_t\Omega(Z)\rangle|^2\to0,\quad\tau\to\infty.$$

By Theorem 2.8 (v) and Lemma 6.3,

$$\mathbb{E}^B_\infty|\langle\phi,\Omega(S'_tZ)-W'_t\Omega(Z)\rangle|^2=\lim_{\tau\to\infty}\mathbb{E}|\langle W_\tau\phi,\Omega(S'_tZ)-W'_t\Omega(Z)\rangle|^2=0\quad\text{for all}\quad t>0.$$

Finally, (7.6)–(7.8) imply the convergence (7.5) and then, Theorem 7.1. ■

8 Appendix A: Existence of solutions

In this section, we prove Proposition 2.2 by using the methods of [23, Lemma 6.3].

Represent the solution Y_t as the pair of the functions (Y_t^0, Y_t^1), where $Y_t^0 = (\varphi_t, q_t)$, $Y_t^1 = (\pi_t, p_t)$.

Let E be the Hilbert space of pairs $Y = (Y^0, Y^1)$, where $Y^0 = (\varphi, q) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus \mathbb{R}^3$, $Y^1 = (\pi, p) \in H^0(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus \mathbb{R}^3$, with the finite norm $\|Y\|_E^2 := \|\nabla\varphi\|^2 + m^2\|\varphi\|^2 + \|\pi\|^2 + |q|^2 + |p|^2$. Now we prove the axillary lemma.

Lemma 8.1 *Let conditions* **A1'** *and* **A2** *hold. Then*
(i) for every $Y_0 \in E$, the Cauchy problem (2.3) has a unique solution $Y_t \in C(\mathbb{R}, E)$.
(ii) For every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the operator $S_t : Y_0 \mapsto Y_t$ is continuous on E.
(iii) The energy is conserved and finite,

$$H(Y_t) = H(Y_0) \ \ \textit{for} \ \ t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{8.1}$$

Proof. *Step (i)* If $\rho = 0$, then the existence and uniqueness of the solution $Y_t \in C(\mathbb{R}, E)$ to problem (2.3) is proved by using the Fourier transform. Therefore, problem (2.3) for $Y_t \in C(\mathbb{R}, E)$ is equivalent to

$$Y_t = e^{\mathcal{L}_0 t} Y_0 + \int\limits_0^t e^{\mathcal{L}_0 (t-s)} B Y_s \, ds, \tag{8.2}$$

where (cf (7.4))

$$\left.\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{L}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -\mathcal{A}_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \mathcal{A}_0 \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (-\Delta + m^2)\varphi \\ \omega^2 q \end{pmatrix} \\ B(Y^0, Y^1) = (0, RY^0), & RY^0 := \Big(q \cdot \nabla\rho, \langle \varphi, \nabla\rho \rangle\Big) \end{array}\right| \quad \text{for} \ \ Y^0 = (\varphi, q). \tag{8.3}$$

Note that $\|e^{\mathcal{L}_0 t} Y_0\|_E \le C\|Y_0\|_E$; and the second term in (8.2) is estimated by

$$\sup_{|t|\le T} \| \int\limits_0^t e^{\mathcal{L}_0 (t-s)} B Y_s \, ds \|_E \le C\,T \sup_{|s|\le T} \|Y_s\|_E.$$

This bound and the contraction mapping principle imply the existence and uniqueness of the local solution $Y_t \in C([-\varepsilon, \varepsilon], E)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

Step (ii) To prove (8.1), we first assume that $\phi_0 = (\varphi_0, \pi_0) \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \times C^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\phi_0(x) = 0$ for $|x| \ge R_0$. Then $\varphi_t(x) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}_x^3 \times \mathbb{R}_t)$ and

$$\varphi_t(x) = 0 \quad \text{for} \ \ |x| \ge |t| + \max\{R_0, R_\rho\}$$

by the integral representation (8.2) and condition **A2**. Therefore, for such initial data, relation (8.1) can be proved by integrating by parts. Hence, the energy conservation (8.1) follows from the continuity of S_t and from the fact that $C_0^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus \mathbb{R}^3$ is dense in E.

Step (iii) Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\varphi(x)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}m^2\|\varphi(x)\|^2 + \langle q \cdot \nabla\varphi, \rho \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^3}\Big(\Big\|\sqrt{k^2+m^2}\hat{\varphi}(k) + \frac{iq \cdot k\hat{\rho}(k)}{\sqrt{k^2+m^2}}\Big\|^2 - \Big\|\frac{q \cdot k\hat{\rho}(k)}{\sqrt{k^2+m^2}}\Big\|^2\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the Hamiltonian functional $H(Y)$ is nonnegative. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} H(Y) &= \frac{1}{2}\Big\{\|\pi\|^2+(2\pi)^{-3}\|\sqrt{k^2+m^2}\hat\varphi(k)+\frac{iq\cdot k\hat\rho(k)}{\sqrt{k^2+m^2}}\|^2\Big\} \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{2}(\omega^2|q|^2-q\cdot K_mq)+\frac{1}{2}|p|^2\geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{8.4}$$

by condition **A1'**. Moreover, by (8.1) and (8.4), for $|t|<\varepsilon$, we obtain

$$\|Y_t\|_E^2\leq C\,H(Y_t)=C\,H(Y_0).$$

On the other hand, since $|q\cdot\langle\nabla\varphi,\rho\rangle|\leq\frac{1}{2}(\|\nabla\varphi\|^2+|q|^2\|\rho\|^2)$,

$$H(Y)\leq\|\nabla\varphi\|^2+\frac{1}{2}m^2\|\varphi\|^2+\frac{1}{2}\|\pi\|^2+\frac{1}{2}(\omega^2+\|\rho\|^2)|q|^2+\frac{1}{2}|p|^2\leq C\|Y\|_E^2,$$

where $C=\max\{1,m^2/2,(\omega^2+\|\rho\|^2)/2\}$. Hence, we obtain the *a priori* estimate

$$\|Y_t\|_E\leq C_1\|Y_0\|_E\quad\text{for}\quad t\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{8.5}$$

Therefore, properties (i)–(iii) of Lemma 8.1 for arbitrary $t\in\mathbb{R}$ follow from bound (8.5). ■

We return to the proof of Proposition 2.2. Let us choose $R>R_\rho$ with R_ρ from condition **A2**. Then, by the integral representation (8.2), the solution Y_t for $|x|<R$ depends only on the initial data $Y_0(x)$ with $|x|<R+|t|$. Thus, the continuity of S_t in $\mathcal{E}$ follows from the continuity in E.

For every $R>0$, define the local energy seminorms by

$$\|Y\|_{E(R)}^2:=\int\limits_{|x|<R}\Big(|\nabla\varphi(x)|^2+m^2|\varphi(x)|^2+|\pi(x)|^2\Big)\,dx+|q|^2+|p|^2,\quad Y=(\varphi,\pi,q,p).$$

By estimate (8.5), we obtain the following local energy estimates:

$$\|S_tY_0\|_{E(R)}^2\leq C\|Y_0\|_{E(R+|t|)}^2\quad\text{for}\;\; R>R_\rho\quad\text{and}\quad t\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{8.6}$$

Hence, bound (2.6) follows from (8.6). ■

9 Appendix B: Time decay of q_t and p_t in the case $m \neq 0$

Theorem 9.1 *Let $m \neq 0$ and $d = 3$, let the conditions* **A1**–**A3** *hold, and let $Y_0 \in E$ and (3.18) hold. Then q_t and p_t are continuous, and the following bound holds:*

$$|q_t| + |p_t| \le C(1+t)^{-3/2}, \quad t \ge 0. \tag{9.1}$$

This theorem is a modification of Lemma 17.1 in [19].

Denote by g_λ the fundamental solution of the operator $-\Delta + m^2 + \lambda^2$,

$$g_\lambda(y) = \frac{e^{-\kappa|y|}}{4\pi|y|}, \tag{9.2}$$

where $\kappa^2 = m^2 + \lambda^2$, $\operatorname{Re}\kappa > 0$ for $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > 0$. Applying the Laplace transform, by (3.4)–(3.5), we obtain

$$M(\lambda)\begin{pmatrix} \tilde q(\lambda) \\ \tilde p(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} q_0 \\ p_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$M(\lambda) := \begin{pmatrix} \lambda I & -I \\ \omega^2 I - \tilde D(\lambda) & \lambda I \end{pmatrix}, \tag{9.3}$$

and the matrix $\tilde D(\lambda)$ is defined in (3.8). The entries of $\tilde D(\lambda)$ are of the form

$$\tilde D_{ij}(\lambda) = \int \nabla_i \rho(y)(g_\lambda * \nabla_j\rho)(y)\,dy = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int \frac{k_i k_j |\hat\rho(k)|^2}{k^2+m^2+\lambda^2}\,dk. \tag{9.4}$$

The following result was proved in [19, p.351].

Lemma 9.2 *(i) The operator $-\Delta + m^2 + \lambda^2$ is invertible in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > 0$ and its fundamental solution (9.2) decays exponentially as $|y| \to \infty$.*

(ii) For any fixed $y \neq 0$, the Green function $g_\lambda(y)$ admits an analytic continuation (w.r.t. the variable λ) to the Riemann surface of the algebraic function $\sqrt{\lambda^2+m^2}$ with the branching points $\pm im$ if $m \neq 0$.

Lemma 9.2 and (9.3) imply that $M(\lambda)$ admits an analytic continuation from the domain $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > 0$ to the Riemann surface with the branching points $\pm im$ with $m \neq 0$. Moreover, the matrix $M^{-1}(\lambda)$ exists for large λ. It follows from (9.3) since $\tilde D_{ij}(\lambda) \to 0$ as $\operatorname{Re}\lambda \to \infty$ by (9.4).

Corollary 9.3 *(i) The matrix $M(\lambda)$ is invertible for $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > 0$, and*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde q(\lambda) \\ \tilde p(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = M^{-1}(\lambda)\begin{pmatrix} q_0 \\ p_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \operatorname{Re}\lambda > 0. \tag{9.5}$$

(ii) The matrix $M^{-1}(\lambda)$ admits meromorphic continuation from the domain $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > 0$ to the Riemann surface with the branching points $\pm im$ with $m \neq 0$.

Now we investigate the limit values of $M^{-1}(\lambda)$ at the imaginary axis $\lambda = ix$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The limit matrix

$$M(ix+0) = \begin{pmatrix} ixI & -I \\ \omega^2 I - \tilde D(ix+0) & ixI \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{9.6}$$

exists, and its entries are continuous functions of $x \in \mathbb{R}$, smooth for $|x| < m$, and for $|x| > m$.

Lemma 9.4 *The limit matrix $M(ix+0)$ is invertible for $x \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. (i) Let $|x| \le m$. Then the matrix $(\omega^2 - x^2)I - \tilde{D}(ix+0)$ is positive definite. Indeed, by condition **A1**,

$$\begin{aligned} v\cdot((\omega^2-x^2)I-\tilde{D}(ix+0))v &= (\omega^2-x^2)|v|^2-(2\pi)^{-3}\int\frac{(v\cdot k)^2|\hat{\rho}(k)|^2\,dk}{k^2+m^2-x^2} \\ &\ge (\omega^2-m^2)|v|^2-v\cdot K_0 v>0 \end{aligned} \tag{9.7}$$

for every $v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore, $M(ix+0)\xi \neq 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^6 \setminus \{0\}$.

(ii) Let $|x| > m$. In this case, Then $v \cdot \operatorname{Im}\tilde{D}(ix+0)v \neq 0$ for every $v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$. Indeed,

$$\operatorname{Im}\tilde{D}_{ij}(ix+0) = \operatorname{Im}(2\pi)^{-3}\int\frac{k_ik_j|\hat{\rho}(k)|^2\,dk}{k^2+m^2-(x-i0)^2}.$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$, consider the function

$$d(ix+\varepsilon) := \int\frac{k_ik_j|\hat{\rho}(k)|^2}{k^2+m^2-(x-i\varepsilon)^2}\,dk, \quad |x|>m.$$

Denote $b_\varepsilon(k) = k^2+m^2-(x-i\varepsilon)^2$. For $|x|>m$, $b_0(k)=0$ if $|k|=\sqrt{x^2-m^2}$. Let us fix a small $\delta>0$ and introduce a cutoff function $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\zeta(k)\ge 0$, $\zeta(k)=1$ if $|b_0(k)|<\delta$ and $\zeta(k)=0$ if $|b_0(k)|\ge 2\delta$. We split the integral $d(ix+\varepsilon)$ into two terms $d_\delta(ix+\varepsilon)$ and $f_\delta(ix+\varepsilon)$:

$$d(ix+\varepsilon) = \int\zeta(k)\frac{k_ik_j|\hat{\rho}(k)|^2}{b_\varepsilon(k)}\,dk + \int(1-\zeta(k))\frac{k_ik_j|\hat{\rho}(k)|^2}{b_\varepsilon(k)}\,dk \equiv d_\delta(ix+\varepsilon)+f_\delta(ix+\varepsilon).$$

Note that for fixed $\delta>0$,

$$f_\delta(ix+\varepsilon) := \int(1-\zeta(k))\frac{k_ik_j|\hat{\rho}(k)|^2}{b_\varepsilon(k)}\,dk \to \int\limits_{|b_0(k)|\ge\delta}(1-\zeta(k))\frac{k_ik_j|\hat{\rho}(k)|^2}{b_0(k)}\,dk \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon\to 0.$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{Im} d(ix+0) = \operatorname{Im} d_\delta(ix+0)$, where

$$d_\delta(ix+0) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to+0} d_\delta(ix+\varepsilon), \quad d_\delta(ix+\varepsilon) := \int\zeta(k)\frac{k_ik_j|\hat{\rho}(k)|^2}{b_\varepsilon(k)}\,dk.$$

Denote $a(k)=\sqrt{k^2+m^2}$. Then $b_\varepsilon(k) = a^2(k)-(x-i\varepsilon)^2$. Let $x>0$. Since

$$\frac{1}{b_\varepsilon(k)} = \frac{1}{2a(k)(a(k)-x+i\varepsilon)} + \frac{1}{2a(k)(a(k)+x-i\varepsilon)},$$

then $\operatorname{Im} d_\delta(ix+0) = \operatorname{Im} d_\delta^-(ix+0)$, where, by definition,

$$d_\delta^-(ix+0) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to+0} d_\delta^-(ix+\varepsilon), \quad \text{and} \quad d_\delta^-(ix+\varepsilon) := \int\zeta(k)\frac{k_ik_j|\hat{\rho}(k)|^2}{2a(k)(a(k)-x+i\varepsilon)}\,dk.$$

We rewrite $d_-^\delta(ix+\varepsilon)$ as

$$d_\delta^-(ix+\varepsilon) = \int\frac{g(\alpha)}{\alpha+i\varepsilon}\,d\alpha, \quad \text{with} \quad g(\alpha) := \int\limits_{a(k)-x=\alpha}\zeta(k)\frac{k_ik_j|\hat{\rho}(k)|^2}{2a(k)|\nabla a(k)|}\,dS.$$

Hence, $\operatorname{Im} d_\delta^-(ix+0) = -\pi g(0)$ by the Plemelj formula. Finally, for $x > m > 0$,

$$\operatorname{Im} d(ix+0) = \operatorname{Im} d_\delta^-(ix+0) = -\pi \int\limits_{|k|=\sqrt{x^2-m^2}} \frac{k_i k_j |\hat\rho(k)|^2}{2|k|}\, dS.$$

Therefore, applying condition **A3** we obtain, for $|x| > m$,

$$v \cdot \operatorname{Im} \tilde D(ix+0) v = -\operatorname{sign}(x)\pi(2\pi)^{-3} \int\limits_{|k|=\sqrt{x^2-m^2}} \frac{(v\cdot k)^2 |\hat\rho(k)|^2}{2|k|}\, dS \neq 0 \tag{9.8}$$

by condition **A3**. Thus, formula (9.6) and estimate (9.8) imply Lemma 9.4. Indeed, assume that there exists a nonzero vector $v = (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $M(ix+0)v = 0$. Then, by (9.6), we obtain

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} ix\alpha - \beta = 0 \\ \Big(\omega^2 I - \tilde D(ix+0)\Big)\alpha + ix\beta = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \beta = ix\alpha \\ \Big((\omega^2 - x^2)I - \tilde D(ix+0)\Big)\alpha = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

Hence, (9.7) and (9.8) imply that $\alpha = 0$, and then, $\beta = 0$. Therefore, $\operatorname{Ker} M(ix+0) = \emptyset$. Lemma 9.4 is proved. ■

Remark 9.5 *(i) In the case when $m \neq 0$ condition* **A2** *can be weakened. It suffices to assume the real-valued function ρ to be in the Sobolev space H^2 and of compact support.*
(ii) We use condition **A3** *only in estimates (3.12) and (9.8). Hence, instead of condition* **A3**, *it suffices to assume that, for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ and for any $r > 0$, $\int\limits_{|\theta|=1} (v\cdot\theta)^2 |\hat\rho(r\,\theta)|^2\, dS_\theta \neq 0$.*

Corollary 9.6 *The matrix $M^{-1}(ix+0)$ is smooth w.r.t. $x \in \mathbb{R}$ outside the points $x = \pm im$, with $m \neq 0$.*

Lemma 9.7 *(i) The matrix $M^{-1}(ix+0)$ admits the following Puiseux expansion in a neighborhood of $\pm im$ ($m \neq 0$): there exists an $\varepsilon_\pm > 0$ such that*

$$M^{-1}(ix+0) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty c_k^\pm (x \mp m)^{k/2}, \quad |x \mp m| < \varepsilon_\pm, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{9.9}$$

(ii) There exist a matrix R_0 and a matrix-valued function $R_1(x)$ such that

$$M^{-1}(ix+0) = \frac{1}{x} R_0 + R_1(x), \quad |x| > m+1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{9.10}$$

where $|\partial_x^k R_1(x)| \le C_k/|x|^2$ for $|x| > m+1$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $k = 0, 1, \ldots$.

Proof. (i) Formula (9.9) follows from (9.2) and (9.4).

(ii) Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\operatorname{supp} f \subset B_R$. Then (see formula (16.7) in [19])

$$\Big\|\partial_x^k[-\Delta + m^2 + (ix+0)^2]^{-1} f\Big\|_{L^2(B_R)} \le \frac{C_k(R)}{|x|} \|f\|_{L^2(B_R)}, \quad |x| \ge m+1,$$

for every $R > 0$. Therefore (see (3.8)) we obtain $|\partial_x^k D(ix+0)| \le C_k/|x|$ for $|x| > m+1$. Then formula (9.6) implies (9.10). ■

Proof of Theorem 9.1. Applying (9.5), we obtain

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} q_t \\ p_t \end{array}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ixt} M^{-1}(ix+0)\left(\begin{array}{c} q_0 \\ p_0 \end{array}\right) dx. \tag{9.11}$$

We split the Fourier integral (9.11) into two terms, by using the partition of unity: $\zeta_0(x) + \zeta_1(x) = 1$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} q_t \\ p_t \end{array}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ixt}\left(\zeta_0(x)+\zeta_1(x)\right) M^{-1}(ix+0)\left(\begin{array}{c} q_0 \\ p_0 \end{array}\right) dx = I_0(t) + I_1(t),$$

where the functions $\zeta_k(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\operatorname{supp}\zeta_1 \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R} : m/2 < |x| < m+1\}$. Then (i) the function $I_0(t) \in C[0,+\infty)$ decays faster than any power of t due to Lemma 9.7 and bound (9.7), and (ii) the function $I_1(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ decay like $(1+|t|)^{-3/2}$ by (9.9). Theorem 9.1 is proved. ■

Corollary 9.8 *Let* $m \neq 0$ *and conditions* **A1**–**A3** *hold. Then*

$$|\mathcal{N}^{(j)}(t)| \le C(1+|t|)^{-3/2}, \quad j = 0, 1, \tag{9.12}$$

where $\mathcal{N}(t)$ *is the inverse Laplace transform of* $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\lambda)$. *This bound can be proved in a similar way as Theorem 9.1.*

10 Appendix C: Non translation invariant initial measures

The proof of Theorem 2.10 is divided into two main steps: first, we prove the asymptotic behavior of solutions Y_t in mean: $\langle Y_t, Z\rangle \sim \langle W_t\phi, \Omega(Z)\rangle$ as $t\to\infty$ (see Corollary 5.2). This asymptotics allows us to reduce the convergence analysis for the coupled system to the same problem for the free wave (or Klein-Gordon) equation. Second, we prove the weak convergence of the measures $\mu_t^B = W_t^*\mu_0^B$ as $t\to\infty$ (see Theorem 2.8).

However, the weak convergence of μ_t^B holds under weaker conditions than **S2** and **S3**. Now we formulate these conditions on μ_0^B (see [8] if $m=0$ and [10] if $m\neq 0$).

10.1 Conditions on μ_0^B

In the case $m\neq 0$, we assume that μ_0^B has zero mean, satisfies a mixing condition **S3** and has a finite mean energy density (see (2.7)), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\int \Big(|\varphi_0(x)|^2 + |\nabla\varphi_0(x)|^2 + |\pi_0(x)|^2\Big)\mu_0^B(d\phi_0) &= Q_0^{00}(x,x) + [\nabla_x\nabla_y Q_0^{00}(x,y)]\Big|_{x=y} + Q_0^{11}(x,x)\\ &\le e_0 < \infty. \qquad (10.1)\end{aligned}$$

However, condition **S2** (see Section 2.3) can be weakened as follows.
S2' The correlation functions of the measure μ_0^B have the form

$$Q_0^{ij}(x,y) = q_-^{ij}(x-y)\zeta_-(x_1)\zeta_-(y_1) + q_+^{ij}(x-y)\zeta_+(x_1)\zeta_+(y_1),\quad i,j=0,1. \qquad (10.2)$$

Here $q_\pm^{ij}(x-y)$ are the correlation matrices of some translation-invariant measures $\mu_\pm^B$ with zero mean value in $\mathcal{H}$, $x=(x_1,\dots,x_d)$, $y=(y_1,\dots,y_d)\in\mathbb{R}^d$, $a>0$. The measure μ_0^B is not translation-invariant if $q_-^{ij}\neq q_+^{ij}$. The functions $\zeta_\pm\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\zeta_\pm(s) = \left\{\begin{array}{ll} 1, & \text{for}\quad \pm s > a,\\ 0, & \text{for}\quad \pm s < -a.\end{array}\right. \qquad (10.3)$$

If $m=0$, instead of **S2** we impose condition **S2'**.
S2' The correlation functions of μ_0^B have the form

$$Q_0(x,y) = \left\{\begin{array}{ll} q_-(x-y), & x_1,y_1 < -a,\\ q_+(x-y), & x_1,y_1 > a,\end{array}\right. \qquad (10.4)$$

with $q_\pm$ as in (10.2). However, if $m=0$, instead of (10.1) we impose a stronger condition (10.5). Namely, the following derivatives are continuous and the bounds hold,

$$|D_{x,y}^{\alpha,\beta}Q_0^{ij}(x,y)| \le \left\{\begin{array}{ll} C\nu_\kappa(|x-y|) & \text{if}\quad \kappa=0,1,\dots,d-2\\ C\nu_{d-1}(|x-y|) & \text{if}\quad \kappa=d-1,d,d+1\end{array}\right| \quad \kappa=i+j+|\alpha|+|\beta|, \qquad (10.5)$$

with $|\alpha|\le(d-3)/2+i$, $|\beta|\le(d-3)/2+j$, $i,j=0,1$. Here $\nu_\kappa\in C[0,\infty)$ ($\kappa=0,\dots,d-1$) denote some continuous nonnegative nonincreasing functions in $[0,\infty)$ with the finite integrals, $\int_0^\infty (1+r)^{\kappa-1}\nu_\kappa(r)dr<\infty$. Moreover, for $d\ge 5$, $\int_0^\infty (1+r)^{d-4+\kappa}\nu_\kappa(r)dr<\infty$ with $\kappa=0,2$.

Now we formulate a new mixing condition **S3'** for the measure μ_0^B (if $m = 0$).

S3' Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ with integers $\alpha_i \ge 0$. Denote by $\sigma_{i\alpha}(\mathcal{A})$ the σ-algebra of the subsets in $\mathcal{H}$ generated by all linear functionals

$$\phi_0 = (\phi_0^0, \phi_0^1) \mapsto \langle D^\alpha \phi_0^i, f\rangle, \quad \text{with } \ |\alpha| \le 1 - i, \quad i = 0, 1,$$

where $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\operatorname{supp} f \subset \mathcal{A}$. For $\kappa = 0, 1$, let $\sigma_\kappa(\mathcal{A})$ be the σ-algebra generated by $\sigma_{i\alpha}(\mathcal{A})$ with $i + |\alpha| \ge \kappa$, i.e., $\sigma_\kappa(\mathcal{A}) \equiv \bigvee\limits_{i+|\alpha|\ge\kappa} \sigma_{i\alpha}(\mathcal{A})$.

We define the (Ibragimov) mixing coefficient of a probability measure μ_0^B on $\mathcal{H}$ as (cf (2.8))

$$\varphi_{\kappa_1,\kappa_2}(r) \equiv \sup_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})\in\mathcal{O}(r)} \ \sup_{\substack{A \in \sigma_{\kappa_1}(\mathcal{A}), B \in \sigma_{\kappa_2}(\mathcal{B}) \\ \mu_0^B(B) > 0}} \frac{|\mu_0^B(A \cap B) - \mu_0^B(A)\mu_0^B(B)|}{\mu_0^B(B)}, \quad \kappa_1, \kappa_2 = 0, 1.$$

We assume that the measure μ_0^B satisfies the strong uniform Ibragimov mixing condition, i.e., for any $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 = 0, 1$, $\varphi_{\kappa_1,\kappa_2}(r) \to 0$, $r \to \infty$. Moreover,

$$\varphi_{\kappa_1,\kappa_2}(r) \le C\nu_\kappa^2(r), \quad \text{where } \ \kappa = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2, \quad \kappa_1, \kappa_2 = 0, 1.$$

Remark 10.1 (i) In [8, 10], we have constructed the generic examples of the initial measures μ_0^B satisfying all assumptions imposed.

(ii) Condition **S3** and the bound (10.1) imply the bound (6.3).

(iii) Condition (10.5) implies (10.1). Condition **S3'** implies estimates (10.5) with $i + |\alpha| \le 1$, $j + |\beta| \le 1$.

(iv) The conditions **S2** and **S3** admit various modifications. We choose the variant which allows an application to the case of the Gibbs measures (see Section 11.3). This is related to the slow long-range decay of the correlation function $Q_0^{00}(x, y) \sim |x - y|^{2-d}$, $|x - y| \to \infty$.

The mixing condition **S3'** is weaker than condition **S3**. On the other hand, the estimates (10.5) with $\kappa > 2$ are not required for translation-invariant initial measures μ_0^B or in the case when $m \ne 0$.

10.2 Convergence to equilibrium

Theorem 10.2 *(see [8, 10]) Let all conditions imposed on μ_0^B hold. Then the assertions of Theorem 2.8 remains true with the limit correlation matrix $Q_\infty^B(x, y) = q_\infty^B(x - y)$ of the following form. In the Fourier transform, $\hat{q}_\infty^B(k) = \hat{q}_\infty^+(k) + \hat{q}_\infty^-(k)$, where (cf (2.14))*

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{q}_\infty^+(k) &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Big(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^+(k) + \hat{C}(k)\hat{\mathbf{q}}^+(k)\hat{C}^T(k)\Big), \\ \hat{q}_\infty^-(k) &= i\,\mathrm{sgn}(k_1)\tfrac{1}{2}\Big(\hat{C}(k)\hat{\mathbf{q}}^-(k) - \hat{\mathbf{q}}^-(k)\hat{C}^T(k)\Big), \end{aligned}$$

with $\mathbf{q}^+ = \frac{1}{2}(q_+ + q_-)$, $\mathbf{q}^- := \frac{1}{2}(q_+ - q_-)$, and $\hat{C}(k)$ from (2.15).

Corollary 10.3 *Let conditions* **S0**, **S1** *and all assumptions of Theorem 10.2 be fulfilled. Then the assertions of Theorem 2.10 hold with Q_∞^B introduced in Theorem 10.2.*

In Section 11.3, we give an application of Theorem 10.2 to the case when $\mu_\pm^B$ (see **S2'**) are Gibbs measures with different temperatures $T_+ \ne T_-$.

11 Appendix D: Gibbs measures

11.1 Phase space

We define the weighted Sobolev spaces with any $s, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 11.1 *(i)* $H^s_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *is the Hilbert space of the distributions* $\varphi \in S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *with finite norm*

$$\|\varphi\|_{s,\alpha} \equiv \|\langle x\rangle^\alpha \Lambda^s \varphi\| < \infty, \quad \Lambda^s\varphi \equiv F^{-1}\left[\langle k\rangle^s \hat{\varphi}(k)\right], \quad s, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{11.1}$$

(ii) $\mathcal{H}^s_\alpha \equiv H^{s+1}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d) \oplus H^s_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *is the Hilbert space of pairs* $\phi \equiv (\varphi(x), \pi(x))$ *with real valued functions* $\varphi(x)$ *and* $\pi(x)$*, with finite norm*

$$\|\phi\|_{s,\alpha} = \|\varphi\|_{s+1,\alpha} + \|\pi\|_{s,\alpha}, \quad s, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{11.2}$$

(iii) $\mathcal{E}^s_\alpha \equiv \mathcal{H}^s_\alpha \oplus \mathbb{R}^d \oplus \mathbb{R}^d$ *is the Hilbert space of vectors* $Y \equiv (\phi(x), q, p)$*, with the norms*

$$\|Y\|_{s,\alpha} = \|\phi\|_{s,\alpha} + |q| + |p|, \quad s, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{H}^{\bar{s}}_{\bar{\alpha}} \subset \mathcal{H}^s_\alpha$ (and also $\mathcal{E}^{\bar{s}}_{\bar{\alpha}} \subset \mathcal{E}^s_\alpha$) if $\bar{s} > s$ and $\bar{\alpha} > \alpha$, and this embedding is compact. Moreover, for any α, $\mathcal{H}^0_\alpha \subset \mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{E}^0_\alpha \subset \mathcal{E}$ (see Definition 2.1).

Remark 11.2 *Let* $s, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$*, and conditions* **A1'** *and* **A2'** *hold. Then (i) for every* $Y_0 \in \mathcal{E}^s_\alpha$*, the Cauchy problem (2.3) has a unique solution* $Y_t \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{E}^s_\alpha)$*.*
(ii) For every $t \in \mathbb{R}$*, the operator* $S_t : Y_0 \mapsto Y_t$ *is continuous on* $\mathcal{E}^s_\alpha$*. Moreover, there exist positive constants* $C_1, C_2 > 0$ *such that* $\|S_tY_0\|_{s,\alpha} \le C_1\langle t\rangle^{C_2}\|Y_0\|_{s,\alpha}$*.*

This bound can be proved as in [21], where the nonlinear "field-particle" system was studied.

11.2 Gibbs measures for heat reservoir

Write $\phi = (\varphi, \pi)$. We introduce the (normalized) Gibbs measures g^B_β on the space $\mathcal{H}^s_\alpha$. Formally,

$$g^B_\beta(d\phi) = \frac{1}{Z_B} e^{-\beta H_B(\phi)} \prod_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} d\phi(x), \quad H_B(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}(\|\nabla\varphi\|^2 + m^2\|\varphi\|^2 + \|\pi\|^2).$$

Now we adjust the definition of the Gibbs measures g^B_β. Write $\phi = (\phi^0, \phi^1) \equiv (\varphi, \pi)$, and denote by $Q^{ij}(x, y)$, $i, j = 0, 1$, the correlation functions of g^B_β,

$$Q^{ij}(x, y) = \int \phi^i(x)\phi^j(y)\, \mu^B_\beta(d\phi) = q^{ij}(x - y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

We will define the Gibbs measures g^B_β as the Gaussian measures with the correlation functions

$$q^{00}(x-y) = T\mathcal{E}_m(x-y), \quad q^{11}(x-y) = T\delta(x-y), \quad q^{01}(x-y) = q^{10}(x-y) = 0, \tag{11.3}$$

where $T = 1/\beta$, $\mathcal{E}_m(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, is the fundamental solution of the operator $-\Delta + m^2$. The correlation functions q^{ii} do not satisfy condition (10.1) because of singularity at $x = y$. The singularity means that the measures g^B_β are not concentrated in the space $\mathcal{H}$.

Definition 11.3 *For $\beta > 0$, define the Gibbs measures $g_\beta^B(d\phi)$ as the Borel probability measures $g_\beta^B(d\phi) = g_\beta^0(d\varphi) \times g_\beta^1(d\pi)$ in $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^s = H_\alpha^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes H_\alpha^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $s, \alpha < -d/2$, where $g_\beta^0(d\varphi)$ and $g_\beta^1(d\pi)$ are Gaussian Borel probability measures in spaces $H_\alpha^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $H_\alpha^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, respectively, with characteristic functionals*

$$\left.\begin{array}{rcl} \hat{g}_\beta^0(f) &=& \displaystyle\int \exp\{i\langle\varphi, f\rangle\}\, g_\beta^0(d\varphi) = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\beta}\langle(-\Delta + m^2)^{-1} f, f\rangle\right\} \\ \hat{g}_\beta^1(f) &=& \displaystyle\int \exp\{i\langle\pi, f\rangle\}\, g_\beta^1(d\pi) = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\beta}\langle f, f\rangle\right\} \end{array}\right| \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d). \quad (11.4)$$

By the Minlos theorem, the Borel probability measures g_β^0 and g_β^1 exist in the spaces $H_\alpha^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $H_\alpha^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, respectively, because *formally*

$$\int \|\varphi\|_{s+1,\alpha}^2\, g_\beta^0(d\varphi) < \infty, \quad \int \|\pi\|_{s,\alpha}^2\, g_\beta^1(d\pi) < \infty, \quad s, \alpha < -d/2. \quad (11.5)$$

We verify (11.5). Definition (11.1) implies, for $\varphi \in H_\alpha^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\|\varphi\|_{s,\alpha}^2 = (2\pi)^{-2d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle x\rangle^{2\alpha} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-ix(k-k')} \langle k\rangle^s \langle k'\rangle^s \hat{\varphi}(k) \overline{\hat{\varphi}}(k')\, dk\, dk' \Big) dx. \quad (11.6)$$

Let $g(d\varphi)$ be a Gaussian translation invariant measure in $H_\alpha^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with a correlation function $Q(x, y) = q(x - y)$. Let us introduce the following correlation function

$$C(k, k') \equiv \int \hat{\varphi}(k) \overline{\hat{\varphi}}(k')\, g(d\varphi)$$

in the sense of distributions. Since $\varphi(x)$ is real-valued, we have

$$C(k, k') = F_{x\to k} F_{x'\to -k'} Q(x, x') = (2\pi)^d \delta(k - k') \hat{q}(k).$$

Then, integrating (11.6) with respect to the measure $g(d\varphi)$, we obtain the formula

$$\int \|\varphi\|_{s,\alpha}^2\, g(d\varphi) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle x\rangle^{2\alpha} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle k\rangle^{2s} \hat{q}(k)\, dk.$$

Substituting $\hat{q}(k) = T$ (see (11.3)) we obtain the second bound in (11.5). To obtain the first bound in (11.5) we replace s into $s + 1$ and put $\hat{q}(k) = T\hat{\mathcal{E}}_m(k) = T(|k|^2 + m^2)^{-1}$.

Below for spaces $\mathcal{E}_\alpha^s$ and $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^s$, we put $s, \alpha < -d/2$.

Definition 11.3 implies the following lemma.

Lemma 11.4 *The Gibbs measures g_β^B are invariant w.r.t. W_t. Moreover, the flow W_t is mixing w.r.t. g_β^B.*

This lemma can be proved by the similar reasonings as in Sections 11.6 and 7.

11.3 Application of Theorem 10.2 to Gibbs measures $\mu_\pm^B$

In [8, 10], we studied the case when $\mu_\pm^B$ (see condition **S2'** and (10.1)) are the Gibbs measures $g_\pm^B$ corresponding to different positive temperatures $T_- \neq T_+$. We define the Gibbs measures $g_\pm^B$ as the Gaussian measures with the correlation functions (cf. (11.3))

$$q_\pm^{00}(x-y) = T_\pm\,\mathcal{E}_m(x-y), \quad q_\pm^{11}(x-y) = T_\pm\delta(x-y), \quad q_\pm^{01}(x-y) = q_\pm^{10}(x-y) = 0, \quad (11.7)$$

where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The Gibbs measures $g_\pm^B(d\phi) \equiv g_{\beta_\pm}^B(d\phi)$ (with $\beta_\pm = 1/T_\pm$) are the Borel probability measures on the space $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^s$, its definition is specified in Definition 11.3.

Let us introduce (ϕ_-, ϕ_+) as a unit random function in the probability space $(\mathcal{H}_\alpha^s \times \mathcal{H}_\alpha^s, g_-^B \times g_+^B)$. Then $\phi_\pm$ are Gaussian independent vectors in $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^s$. Define a Borel probability measure $\mu_0^B \equiv g_0^B$ on $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^s$ as the distribution of the random function

$$\phi_0(x) = \zeta_-(x_1)\phi_-(x) + \zeta_+(x_1)\phi_+(x),$$

where functions $\zeta_\pm$ are introduced in (10.3). Then correlation functions of g_0^B are of the form (10.2), where $q_\pm^{ij}$ are the correlation functions defined in (11.7). Hence, the measure g_0^B has zero mean and satisfies condition (10.2). However, g_0^B does not satisfy (10.1) because of singularity at $x = y$. Therefore, Theorem 10.2 cannot be applied directly to $\mu_0^B \equiv g_0^B$. The embedding $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^s \subset \mathcal{H}^s$ is continuous by the standard arguments of pseudodifferential equations, [17]. The next lemma follows by Fourier transform and the finite speed of propagation for the wave or Klein-Gordon equation.

Lemma 11.5 *The operators $W_t : \phi_0 \mapsto \phi_t$ allow a continuous extension $\mathcal{H}^s \mapsto \mathcal{H}^s$.*

Let ϕ_0 be the random function with the distribution g_0^B. Hence $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha^s$ a.s. Denote by g_t^B the distribution of $W_t\phi_0$.

Theorem 11.6 *Let $s < -d+1/2$. Then there exists a Gaussian Borel probability measure g_∞^B on the space $\mathcal{H}^s$ such that*

$$g_t^B \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}^s} g_\infty^B, \quad t \to \infty. \quad (11.8)$$

Proof Let us fix an $s < -d+1/2$ and introduce the random function $\phi_0^s := \Lambda^s\phi_0$. By definition, (see (11.1))

$$\Lambda^s\phi_0 = \Lambda_s(\cdot) * \phi_0, \quad \text{where} \quad \Lambda_s(x) := F_{k\to x}^{-1}(\langle k\rangle^s).$$

Since $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha^s$, $\phi_0^s \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha^0$ a.s. Let us denote by $g_t^{B,s}$ the distribution of $W_t(\Lambda^s\phi_0)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$g_t^{B,s}(\omega) := g_0^{B,s}(W_t^{-1}\omega) = g_t^B(\Lambda^{-s}\omega), \quad \text{for} \ \ \omega \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\alpha^0), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then $g_t^{B,s} = g_t^B\Lambda^{-s}$, and $g_t^B = g_t^{B,s}\Lambda^s$, since $\Lambda^s(W_t\phi_0) = W_t(\Lambda^s\phi_0)$.

Let $Q_t^s(x,y)$ stand for the (matrix) correlation function of the measure $g_t^{B,s}$,

$$Q_t^s(x,y) = \int\limits_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha^0} \Big(\phi_0^s(x) \otimes \phi_0^s(y)\Big)\, g_t^{B,s}(d\phi_0^s) = \int\limits_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha^s} \Big(\phi_0(x) \otimes \phi_0(y)\Big)\, g_t^B(d\phi_0) * (\Lambda_s(x)\Lambda_s(y)).$$

Now we study properties of $g_0^{B,s}$. The measure $g_0^{B,s}$ obviously has zero mean. The correlation matrix of $g_0^{B,s}$ is of the form

$$Q_0^s(x,y) = Q_0(x,y) * (\Lambda_s(x)\Lambda_s(y)). \quad (11.9)$$

The correlation function $Q_0^s(x,y)$ satisfies (10.4) with a suitable modification: (10.4) holds up to $\delta(1+|x|+|y|)^{-N}$ with any $\delta, N>0$ and with $a=a(\delta,N)$. Namely,

$$Q_0^s(x,y)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll} q_-^s(x-y)+R_-(x,y), & x_1,y_1<-a,\\ q_+^s(x-y)+R_+(x,y), & x_1,y_1>a,\end{array}\right.$$

where $q_\pm^s(x-y):=q_\pm(x-y)*(\Lambda_s(x)\Lambda_s(y))$ are correlation functions of the random function $\Lambda^s\phi_\pm$, and $|R_\pm(x,y)|\le C(1+|x|+|y|)^{-N}$ with any N. This follows from the convolution representation (11.9), since $\Lambda_s(x)$ is a function of class $L^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with a rapid long-range decay.

Now we verify condition (10.5). If $m=0$, $q_\pm^{00}(x)=C_\pm|x|^{2-d}$ (since $d\ge 3$). Therefore, condition (10.5) also holds for $g_0^{B,s}$ with the functions $\nu_\kappa(r)=C(1+r)^{-d+2-\kappa}$ for a sufficiently large $C=C(s,T_\pm)>0$. It follows immediately for $s<0$ with sufficiently large $|s|$ from the same convolution representation (11.9). For $s<-d+1/2$ it follows by the pseudodifferential operators techniques.

Since $g_t^{B,s}$ is Gaussian, we do not need the mixing condition **S3** or **S3'**. Applying the technique from [8, 10], we first prove that the correlation functions $Q_t^s(x,y)$ of the measures $g_t^{B,s}$ converge to a limit as $t\to\infty$. Further, we derive the following bound:

$$\text{for any }\ R>0,\quad \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\int\|\phi_0\|_R^2\, g_t^{B,s}(d\phi_0)\le C<\infty.$$

Hence, by the Prokhorov theorem, the family of measures $\{g_t^{B,s}, t\in\mathbb{R}\}$ is compact in $\mathcal{H}^{-\varepsilon}$ for each $\varepsilon>0$. Therefore, the measures $g_t^{B,s}$ weakly converge to a limit measure $g_\infty^{B,s}$ as $t\to\infty$, i.e., for any $\varepsilon>0$,

$$g_t^{B,s}\overset{\mathcal{H}^{-\varepsilon}}{\longrightarrow} g_\infty^{B,s},\quad t\to\infty,$$

where $g_\infty^{B,s}$ is a Gaussian measure in $\mathcal{H}$. Therefore, $g_t^B\overset{\mathcal{H}^{s-\varepsilon}}{\longrightarrow} g_\infty^B$, $t\to\infty$, since $g_t^B=g_t^{B,s}\Lambda^s$. This implies convergence (11.8). ■

Remark The correlation matrix $Q_\infty^B(x,y)=(q_\infty^{ij}(x-y))_{i,j=0,1}$, of the limit (Gaussian) measure g_∞^B has a form

$$\begin{array}{rcl} q_\infty^{00}(x-y) &=& \frac{1}{2}(T_++T_-)\mathcal{E}_m(x-y),\\ q_\infty^{10}(x-y) &=& -q_\infty^{01}(x-y)=\frac{1}{2}(T_+-T_-)\mathcal{P}(x-y),\\ q_\infty^{11}(x-y) &=& \frac{1}{2}(T_++T_-)\delta(x-y),\end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{P}(x)=-iF^{-1}_{k\to x}[\mathrm{sgn}(k_1)/\omega(k)]$. In particular, the mean energy current density is formally

$$\nabla q_\infty^{10}(0)=\frac{T_+-T_-}{2}\nabla\mathcal{P}(0)=-\frac{T_+-T_-}{2(2\pi)^d}\int\frac{k\,\mathrm{sgn}(k_1)}{\sqrt{|k|^2+m^2}}\,dk=-\infty\cdot(T_+-T_-,0,\dots,0).$$

Corollary 11.7 *Let $P:(\phi,\xi)\to\phi$ be the projection of the space $\mathcal{E}_\alpha^s$ on the space $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^s$. Let μ_0 be a Borel probability measure on $\mathcal{E}_\alpha^s$ such that the measure $P\mu_0\equiv\mu_0^B=g_0^B$, where g_0^B is constructed above. Then the measures μ_t weakly converge to a limit measure μ_∞ as $t\to\infty$ on the space $\mathcal{E}^s$ for each $s<-d+1/2$.*

11.4 Gibbs measure for coupled system

Write $\phi = (\varphi, \pi)$ and $\xi = (q, p)$. For $\beta > 0$, we introduce the (normalized) Gibbs measures g_β on the space $\mathcal{E}^s_\alpha$. Formally,

$$g_\beta(d\phi\, d\xi) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta H(\phi,\xi)} \prod_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} d\phi(x)\, d\xi.$$

Definition 11.8 *For $\beta > 0$, define the Gibbs measures $g_\beta(d\phi\, d\xi)$ in $\mathcal{E}^s_\alpha$, $s, \alpha < -d/2$, as*

$$g_\beta(d\phi\, d\xi) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta q\cdot\langle\rho,\nabla\varphi\rangle}\, g^B_\beta(d\phi) \times g^A_\beta(d\xi). \tag{11.10}$$

Here $\beta = 1/T$ is an inverse temperature, $g^B_\beta(d\phi)$ is defined in Definition 11.3, and g^A_β is the normalized Gibbs measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$g^A_\beta(d\xi) = \frac{1}{Z_A} e^{-\beta H_A(\xi)}\, d\xi, \quad H_A(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}(|p|^2 + \omega^2|q|^2). \tag{11.11}$$

In Section 11.6 we will prove the invariance of the Gibbs measures g_β w.r.t. the dynamics S_t.

Lemma 11.9 *The flow S_t is mixing w.r.t. g_β, i.e., for any functions $F_1, F_2 \in L_2(\mathcal{E}^s_\alpha, g_\beta)$ we have*

$$\int F_1(S_tY)F_2(Y)g_\beta(dY) \to \int F_1(Y)g_\beta(dY) \int F_2(Y)g_\beta(dY) \quad \textit{as} \quad t\to\infty.$$

Proof It is enough to check that for any $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$\int \langle S_tY_0, Z_1\rangle\langle Y_0, Z_2\rangle\, g_\beta(dY_0) \to 0, \quad t\to\infty. \tag{11.12}$$

Let $Z_1 = (f, u, v) \in \mathcal{D}$. By Eqns (3.1), (3.2) and by Theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$|q_t - \langle W_t\phi_0, \alpha\rangle| + |p_t - \langle W_t\phi_0, \beta\rangle| \le C_1\varepsilon_m(t)|\xi_0| + C_2\sqrt{\tilde\varepsilon_m(t)}\sup_{\tau\in\mathbb{R}} |\langle W_\tau\phi_0, \nabla\rho_0\rangle|,$$

and

$$|\langle\phi_t, f\rangle - \langle W_t\phi_0, f_*\rangle| \le C_1\varepsilon_m(t)|\xi_0| + C_2\sqrt{\tilde\varepsilon_m(t)}\left(\sup_{\tau\in\mathbb{R}}|\langle W_\tau\phi_0, \nabla\rho_0\rangle| + \sup_{\tau\in\mathbb{R}}|\langle W_\tau\phi_0, \alpha\rangle|\right).$$

These bounds can be proved similarly to Proposition 5.1. Hence, to prove (11.12) it suffices to verify that

$$\int \langle\phi_0, W'_t\chi\rangle\langle Y_0, Z_2\rangle\, g_\beta(dY_0) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad t\to\infty, \tag{11.13}$$

with $\chi = \alpha, \beta, f_* \in H'_m$ (see Definition 6.1). Definitions 11.3 and 11.8, equalities (11.3), formula (6.5) and the Lebesgue–Riemann theorem imply (11.13). ■

The results of next sections is a modification of the results of [21], where the ergodic properties of a nonlinear system "heat bath-particle" were studied.

11.5 Effective Hamiltonian

We rewrite the system (3.1)–(3.2) in new variables. Introduce an *effective potential* by

$$V_{eff}(q) = \frac{1}{2}(\omega^2|q|^2 - q \cdot K_m q). \tag{11.14}$$

By condition **A1'**, $V_{eff}(q) \geq 0$. Define $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued function $h(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$h(x) = (\Delta - m^2)^{-1}\nabla\rho(x), \tag{11.15}$$

where ρ is the coupled function, and put $h_0 = (h, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Then the fist equations in (2.1) become

$$\dot{\phi}_t = \mathcal{L}_B\phi_t + q_t \cdot \nabla\rho^0 = \mathcal{L}_B(\phi_t + q_t \cdot h_0), \quad \text{with } \mathcal{L}_B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \Delta - m^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{11.16}$$

because $\mathcal{L}_B h_0 = (0, \nabla\rho)$. Define a $\mathbb{R}^2$-valued function $\psi \equiv \psi(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where

$$\psi = (\psi^0, \psi^1): \quad \psi^0 = \varphi + q \cdot h, \quad \psi^1 = \pi.$$

Then (11.16) becomes $\dot{\psi}_t = \mathcal{L}_B\psi_t + \dot{q}_t \cdot h_0$. Recall that $\mathcal{L}_B$ is the generator of the group W_t. Hence, in new variables (ψ_t, ξ_t) the system (3.1)–(3.2) becomes

$$\psi_t = W_t\psi_0 + \int_0^t W_{t-s}h_0 \cdot \dot{q}_s\, ds, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{11.17}$$

$$\ddot{q}_t = -\nabla V_{eff}(q_t) - \int_0^t \Gamma(t-s)\dot{q}_s\, ds + \mathcal{F}(t), \tag{11.18}$$

where $\mathcal{F}(t) := \langle\nabla\rho_0, W_t\psi_0\rangle$,

$$\nabla V_{eff}(q_t) = (\omega^2 I - K_m)q_t, \tag{11.19}$$

the matrix K_m is defined in (2.4), its entries are

$$K_{m,ij} = -\langle\nabla_i\rho_0, h_0^j\rangle = (2\pi)^{-d}\int \frac{k_ik_j|\hat{\rho}(k)|^2}{k^2 + m^2}\, dk,$$

and $\Gamma(t)$ stands for the $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ matrix with entries $\Gamma_{ij}(t)$,

$$\Gamma_{ij}(t) := -\langle\nabla_i\rho_0, W_th_0^j\rangle = (2\pi)^{-d}\int k_ik_j\frac{\cos\omega(k)t}{\omega^2(k)}\, |\hat{\rho}(k)|^2\, dk, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, d, \tag{11.20}$$

The equation (11.18) is called the *generalized or retarded Langevin equation* with the *random force* $\mathcal{F}(t)$ and with the *memory kernel* $\Gamma(t)$.

Remark 11.10 *(i) Eqn (11.20) implies that* $\Gamma(0) = K_m$. *Moreover,* $\dot{\Gamma}_{ij}(t) = -D_{ij}(t)$, *where* $D_{ij}(t)$ *are the entries of the matrix* $D(t)$ *(see (3.3)).*
(ii) By condition **A2**, $\rho_0(x) = h_0(x) = 0$ *for* $|x| \geq R_\rho$. *Hence,* $\Gamma(t) = 0$ *for* $|t| > 2R_\rho$ *if* $m = 0$ *(since in this case* $d \geq 3$ *and odd), and* $|\Gamma(t)| \leq C(1 + |t|)^{-d/2}$ *if* $m \neq 0$.
(iii) $\mathcal{F}(t) = F(t) - \Gamma(t)q_0$ *with* $F(t)$ *from (3.2).*

(iv) Since $\int (\mathcal{F}_i(t)\mathcal{F}_j(s))\, g_\beta^B(d\psi) = \langle \int (\psi(x)\otimes\psi(y)) g_\beta^B(d\psi), (W_t'\nabla_i\rho_0)(x)\otimes(W_t'\nabla_j\rho_0)(y)\rangle$, *then, by (6.5), (11.3) and (11.20), we obtain*

$$\int (\mathcal{F}(t)\otimes\mathcal{F}(s))\, g_\beta^B(d\psi) = \frac{1}{\beta}\Gamma(t-s).$$

This is an example of the fluctuation-dissipation theorem.

Introduce an *effective Hamiltonian* $H_A^{eff}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}|p|^2 + V_{eff}(q)$. Hence, by (1.1),

$$H(\phi,\xi) = H_B(\psi) + H_A^{eff}(\xi).$$

Definition 11.11 *(i) Define a map on* $\mathcal{E}_\alpha^s$*:*

$$\mathbf{T} : (\phi,\xi) \to (\psi,\xi), \quad \psi = \phi + q\cdot h_0.$$

(ii) Denote $g_\beta^{\mathbf{T}}(d\psi d\xi) := g_\beta(\mathbf{T}^{-1}(d\psi d\xi))$*. Then,* $g_\beta^{\mathbf{T}}(d\psi d\xi) = g_\beta^B(d\psi)\times g_\beta^{eff}(d\xi)$*, where* g_β^B *is defined in Definition 11.3,* g_β^{eff} *is a Gaussian measure defined by* $g_\beta^{eff}(d\xi) = \frac{1}{Z}e^{-\beta H_A^{eff}(\xi)}d\xi$*.*

11.6 Invariance of Gibbs measures g_β

Proposition 11.12 *Let conditions* **A1**–**A3** *hold. Then the Gibbs measures* g_β *are invariant with respect to the dynamics, i.e.*

$$S_t^* g_\beta(\omega) := g_\beta(S_t^{-1}\omega) = g_\beta(\omega), \quad \textit{for } \omega\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_\alpha^s) \ \textit{ and } \ t\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{11.21}$$

Here $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_\alpha^s)$ *is the Borelian* σ*-algebra of subsets in* $\mathcal{E}_\alpha^s$*.*

Proposition 11.13 *For every* $\beta > 0$*, the identity (11.21) holds.*

Proof. For simplicity, we omit indices α, s in notations $\mathcal{E}_\alpha^s$ and $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^s$. The invariance (11.21) is equivalent to the identity:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathcal{E}} F(S_tY) g_\beta(dY) = 0, \quad t\in\mathbb{R}, \quad \text{for every } \ F(Y)\in C_b(\mathcal{E}). \tag{11.22}$$

It suffices to prove (11.22) with $t=0$ only. Indeed, since $S_{t+s} = S_t\ S_s$, we have

$$\frac{d}{ds}\int_{\mathcal{E}} F(S_sS_tY)g_\beta(dY) = \frac{d}{ds}\int_{\mathcal{E}} F(S_{s+t}Y)g_\beta(dY) = \frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathcal{E}} F(S_tS_sY)g_\beta(dY). \tag{11.23}$$

Let $\frac{d}{ds}\int_{\mathcal{E}} F(S_sY)g_\beta(dY)\Big|_{s=0} = 0$. Then $\frac{d}{ds}\int_{\mathcal{E}} F(S_sS_tY)g_\beta(dY)\Big|_{s=0} = 0$ for any fixed $t\in\mathbb{R}$. Hence, (11.23) implies

$$0 = \frac{d}{ds}\int_{\mathcal{E}} F(S_sS_tY)g_\beta(dY)\Big|_{s=0} = \frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathcal{E}} F(S_tS_sY)g_\beta(dY)\Big|_{s=0} = \frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathcal{E}} F(S_tY)g_\beta(dY)$$

and (11.22) follows.

Furthermore, it suffices to check (11.22) with $t = 0$ and $F(Y) = \exp(i\langle Y, Z\rangle)$ for every $Z = (f_0(x), f_1(x), k, l) \in D \oplus D \oplus \mathbb{R}^d \oplus \mathbb{R}^d$, where $D = C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then (11.22) with $t = 0$ becomes formally (see (2.3))

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathcal{E}} e^{i\langle S_tY,Z\rangle} g_\beta(dY)\Big|_{t=0} = \int_{\mathcal{E}} e^{i\langle Y,Z\rangle} i\langle \mathcal{L}(Y), Z\rangle g_\beta(dY) = 0, \tag{11.24}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}(\varphi, \pi, q, p) = (\pi, (\Delta - m^2)\varphi + q\cdot\nabla\rho, p, -\omega^2 q + \langle\nabla\rho, \varphi\rangle). \tag{11.25}$$

Now we prove (11.24). Denote by I the integral

$$I := \int_{\mathcal{E}} e^{i\langle Y,Z\rangle} i\langle \mathcal{L}(Y), Z\rangle g_\beta(dY),$$

and check that $I = 0$. Definition 11.11 implies $g_\beta(dY) = g_\beta^{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{T}dY)$. Hence,

$$\int\limits_{\mathcal{E}} F(Y)\, g_\beta(dY) = \int\limits_{\mathcal{E}} F(\mathbf{T}^{-1}Y)\, g_\beta^{\mathbf{T}}(dY) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} g_\beta^{eff}(d\xi) \int\limits_{\mathcal{H}} F(\psi - q\cdot h_0, \xi)\, g_\beta^B(d\psi). \tag{11.26}$$

Using (11.25), (11.26), and (11.15), we rewrite I in the form

$$\begin{aligned} I \;=\; & \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{i(k\cdot q + l\cdot p)} g_\beta^{eff}(d\xi) \int\limits_{\mathcal{H}} e^{i\langle\psi_0 - q\cdot h, f_0\rangle + i\langle\psi_1, f_1\rangle}\Big(i\langle\psi_1, f_0\rangle + i\langle(\Delta - m^2)\psi_0, f_1\rangle \\ & + ik\cdot p + il\cdot[-\omega^2 q + \langle\nabla\rho, \psi_0 - q\cdot h\rangle]\Big) g_\beta^0(d\psi_0) g_\beta^1(d\psi_1). \end{aligned} \tag{11.27}$$

Integrals over Gaussian measures $g_\beta^0(d\psi_0)$ and $g_\beta^1(d\psi_1)$ can be represented as variational derivatives of their characteristic functionals $\hat{g}_\beta^0(f_0)$ and $\hat{g}_\beta^1(f_1)$:

$$\int e^{i\langle\psi, f\rangle} i\langle\psi, \cdot\rangle g_\beta^i(d\psi) = \langle\frac{\delta}{\delta f}\,\hat{g}_\beta^i(f), \cdot\rangle, \quad i = 0, 1, \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} I \;=\; & \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{i(k\cdot q + l\cdot p)} e^{-iq\cdot\langle h, f_0\rangle}\Big(\langle\frac{\delta}{\delta f_1}, f_0\rangle + \langle(\Delta - m^2)\frac{\delta}{\delta f_0}, f_1\rangle \\ & + ik\cdot p + l\cdot\Big[-i\omega^2 q + \langle\tfrac{\delta}{\delta f_0}, \nabla\rho\rangle - i\langle\nabla\rho, q\cdot h\rangle\Big]\Big)\hat{g}_\beta^0(f_0)\hat{g}_\beta^1(f_1)\, g_\beta^{eff}(d\xi). \end{aligned} \tag{11.28}$$

Using (11.4) we calculate

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} \langle\dfrac{\delta}{\delta f}\,\hat{g}_\beta^0(f), \cdot\rangle = -\dfrac{1}{\beta} e^{-\frac{1}{2\beta}\langle(-\Delta + m^2)^{-1}f, f\rangle}\langle(-\Delta + m^2)^{-1}f, \cdot\rangle \\ \langle\dfrac{\delta}{\delta f}\,\hat{g}_\beta^1(f), \cdot\rangle = -\dfrac{1}{\beta} e^{-\frac{1}{2\beta}\langle f, f\rangle}\langle f, \cdot\rangle \end{array}\right| \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Therefore, we reduce (11.28) to the following integral

$$\begin{aligned} I \;=\; & C\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{i(k\cdot q + l\cdot p)} e^{-iq\cdot\langle h, f_0\rangle}\Big(ik\cdot p - il\cdot\nabla V_{eff}(q) + \frac{1}{\beta}\, l\cdot\langle f_0, h\rangle\Big) e^{-\beta H_A^{eff}(\xi)}\, d\xi \\ =\; & C_1\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{i(k\cdot q + l\cdot p)}(k\cdot\nabla_p - l\cdot\nabla_q)\Big[e^{-iq\cdot\langle h, f_0\rangle - \beta H_A^{eff}(q,p)}\Big]\, dq\, dp\, \hat{g}_\beta^0(f_0)\hat{g}_\beta^1(f_1), \end{aligned}$$

by (11.14), (11.15) and (11.19). Partial integration in q and in p leads to

$$I = C_2\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{i(k\cdot q + l\cdot p)}(-k\cdot(il) + l\cdot(ik)) e^{-iq\cdot\langle h, f_0\rangle - \beta H_A^{eff}(q,p)}\, dqdp = 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

References

[1] J.A. Appleby, D.W. Reynolds, Decay rates of solutions of linear stochastic Volterra equations, *Electronic J. of Probability* **12**, 922-943 (2008).

[2] C. Boldrighini, A. Pellegrinotti, L. Triolo, Convergence to stationary states for infinite harmonic systems, *J. Stat. Phys.* **30**, 123-155 (1983).

[3] C. Corduneanu, V. Lakshmikantham, Equations with unbounded delay: a survey, *Nonlinear Analysis, TMA* **4** (5), 831-877 (1980).

[4] R.D. Driver, *Ordinary and Delay Differential Equations*, Springer–Verlag, New York (1977).

[5] T.V. Dudnikova, A.I. Komech, Ergodic properties of hyperbolic equations with mixing, *Theory Probab. Appl.* **41** (3), 436-448 (1996).

[6] T.V. Dudnikova, A.I. Komech, E.A. Kopylova, Yu.M. Suhov, On convergence to equilibrium distribution, I. The Klein-Gordon equation with mixing, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **225** (1), 1-32 (2002).

[7] T.V. Dudnikova, A.I. Komech, N.E. Ratanov, Yu.M. Suhov, On convergence to equilibrium distribution, II. The wave equation in odd dimensions, with mixing, *J. Stat. Phys.* **108** (4), 1219-1253 (2002).

[8] T.V. Dudnikova, A.I. Komech, H. Spohn, On a two-temperature problem for wave equation, *Markov Processes and Related Fields* **8**, 43-80 (2002).

[9] T.V. Dudnikova, A.I. Komech, H. Spohn, On the convergence to statistical equilibrium for harmonic crystals, *J. Math. Phys.* **44** (6), 2596-2620 (2003).

[10] T. Dudnikova, A. Komech, On a two-temperature problem for the Klein-Gordon equation, *Theory Probability and Appl.* 50 (4), 675-710 (2005) [Russian] (English translation: Theory Prob. Appl. 50 (4), 582-611 (2006)).

[11] T.V. Dudnikova, A.I. Komech, On the convergence to a statistical equilibrium in the crystal coupled to a scalar field, *Russian J. Math. Phys.* **12** (3), 301-325 (2005).

[12] T.V. Dudnikova, Convergence to equilibrium distribution. The Klein-Gordon equation coupled to a particle, *Russian J. Math. Phys.* **17** (1), 77-95 (2010).

[13] Yu.V. Egorov, A.I. Komech, M.A. Shubin, *Elements of the Modern Theory of Partial Differential Equations*, Springer, Berlin, 1999.

[14] J.-P. Eckmann, C.-A. Pillet, L. Rey-Bellet, Non-equilibrium statistical mechanics of anharmonic chains coupled to two heat baths at different temperatures, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **201**, 657-697 (1999).

[15] I.M. Gel'fand, G.E. Shilov, *Generalized Functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1964.

[16] G. Gripenberg, S.-O. Londen, O. Staffans, *Volterra Integral and Functional Equations*, vol. 34, in: *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990, 701 pp.

[17] L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators III: Pseudo-Differential Operators*, Springer-Verlag, 1985.

[18] I.A. Ibragimov, Yu.V. Linnik, *Independent and Stationary Sequences of Random Variables*, Wolters-Noordhoff, Groningen, 1971.

[19] V. Imaikin, A. Komech, B. Vainberg, On scattering of solitons for the Klein–Gordon equation coupled to a particle, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **268** (3), 321-367 (2006).

[20] V. Jaksic, C.-A. Pillet, Ergodic properties of the non-Markovian Langevin equation, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, **41**(1), 49-57 (1997).

[21] V. Jaksic, C.-A. Pillet, Ergodic properties of classical dissipative systems. I, *Acta Math.* **181** (2), 245-282 (1998).

[22] A. Karczewska, Convolution type stochastic Volterra equations, arXiv:0712.4357v1 (2007).

[23] A. Komech, H. Spohn, M. Kunze, Long-time asymptotics for a classical particle interacting with a scalar wave field, *Comm. Partial Diff. Equations* **22**, 307-335 (1997).

[24] A.D. Myshkis, *Linear Differential Equations with Retarded Argument.* (Russian, 2-nd edition), Nauka, Moscow, 1972, 352 pp.

[25] M. Ottobre, G.A. Pavliotis, Asymptotic analysis for the Generalized Langevin equation, *Nonlineartity*, **24**(5), 1629-1653 (2011).

[26] M.A. Rosenblatt, A central limit theorem and a strong mixing condition, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **42** (1), 43-47 (1956).

[27] I. Snook, *The Langevin and Generalized Langevin Approach to the Dynamics of Atomic, Polymeric and Colloidal Systems*, Elsevier, 2006, 320 pp.

[28] H. Spohn, J. Lebowitz, Stationary non-equilibrium states of infinite harmonic systems. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **54** (2), 97-120 (1977).

[29] M.I. Vishik, A.V. Fursikov, *Mathematical Problems of Statistical Hydromechanics*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1988.

[30] R. Zwanzig, Nonlinear generalized Langevin equations, *J. Stat. Phys.* 9(3), 215-220 (1973).